

The effect of hybrids on phylogenomics and subspecies delimitation in *Salamandra*, a highly diversified amphibian genus

Sven Gippner¹, Nicholas Strowbridge², Emina Šunje^{3,4,5}, Maria Capstick², Felix Amat⁶, Serge Bogaerts⁷, Khaled Merabet⁸, Kathleen Preissler⁹, Pedro Galán¹⁰, Iñigo Martínez-Solano¹¹, Lucio Bonato¹², Sebastian Steinfartz⁹, Guillermo Velo-Antón¹³, Christophe Dufresnes^{14,15}, Kathryn R. Elmer² & Miguel Vences¹

¹Zoologisches Institut, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Mendelssohnstr. 4, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany ²School of Biodiversity, One Health & Veterinary Medicine, College of Medical, Veterinary & Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

³ Evolutionary Ecology Group, Faculty of Science, University of Sarajevo, Zmaja od Bosne 33, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

⁴ Herpetological Association in B&H – ATRA (BH-HU: ATRA), Urijan Dedina 137, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina
 ⁵ Laboratory of Functional Morphology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Antwerp, Belgium
 ⁶ Herpetological Section, Granollers Museum of Natural Sciences, Palaudàries 102, 08402, Catalonia, Spain

⁷ Strijperstraat 55a, NL5595GB Leende, the Netherlands

⁸ Laboratoire de Recherche en Ecologie et Environnment, Faculté des Sciences de la Nature et de la Vie, Université de Bejaia,06000 Bejaia, Algéria

⁹Institute of Biology, Molecular Evolution and Systematics of Animals, University of Leipzig, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

¹⁰ Universidad de A Coruña, Facultad de Ciencias, Campus de A Zapateira, s/n, (Grupo de Investigación en Biología Evolutiva, GIBE), E-15071 A Coruña, Spain

¹¹ Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (MNCN-CSIC), c/ José Gutiérrez Abascal, 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain

¹² Department of Biology, University of Padova, Padova, Italy; National Biodiversity Future Center, Palermo, Italy
¹³ Universidad de Vigo, Facultad de Biología, Edificio de Ciencias Experimentales, Bloque B, Planta 2, Laboratorio 39 (Grupo GEA), E-36310, Vigo, Spain

¹⁴Laboratory for Amphibian Systematics and Evolutionary Research (LASER), College of Biology and Environment, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China

¹⁵ Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE-PSL, Université des Antilles, Paris, France

Corresponding author: Miguel Vences, ORCID 0000-0003-0747-0817, e-mail: m.vences@tu-braunschweig.de

Manuscript received: 21 August 2023

Accepted 18 March 2024 by STEFAN LÖTTERS

Abstract. Traditional methods of phylogenetic reconstruction and species delimitation may be impeded by frequent hybridization among lineages. In this study, we conducted phylogenetic and clustering analyses of ddRAD genomic data on the entire genus *Salamandra*, which includes six species and over 25 subspecies of terrestrial salamanders. We expanded previous datasets to include missing subspecies and incorporated new samples, with an emphasis on secondary contact zones. Results obtained from a full dataset of 392 individuals (356,874 bp; 24,192 SNPs) were compared with those obtained after excluding substantially admixed individuals (n = 95; 835,467 bp; 51,557 SNPs) to explore the consequences of introgression on phylogenetic inference and taxonomic arrangement of subspecies. We found conflicting phylogenetic placements for taxa represented by many admixed individuals (identified by clustering ancestries). In contrast, a time-calibrated tree constructed without hybrids largely agrees with previous phylogenetic hypotheses. Within *S. atra*, we found paraphyly of *S. atra atra*, suggesting an additional candidate subspecies. Within *S. infraimmaculata*, two lineages are assignable to known subspecies and we additionally identified a third, deeply diverged lineage sampled near the Turkish/Syrian border. In *S. algira*, we found limited admixture between the subspecies *S. a. tingitana* and *S. a. splendens* despite their geographic proximity. Finally, within *S. salamandra*, we detected significant levels of hybridization between subspecies, which blurred their phylogenetic relationships, although the removal of admixed samples in subset analyses clarified the situation in most cases. Monophyly was recovered for subspecies that were previously found paraphyletic, including *S. s. salamandra*, *S. s. gallaica*,

SVEN GIPPNER et al.

and *S. s. fastuosa*. *Salamandra s. "alfredschmidti*" was confirmed to be a junior synonym of *S. s. bernardezi*. Previously disputed subspecies, like *S. s. "molleri*" and *S. s. "hispanica*", correspond to separated lineages but are affected by admixture with other lineages. Further newly identified candidate subspecies in *S. salamandra* included a southern lineage within *S. s. werneri* and a western lineage within *S. s. bernardezi*. Finally, we re-evaluate the status of recognized subspecies in *Salamandra*, based on evidence from multiple delimitation criteria. Given that the evolutionary history could not be resolved for all subspecies, we highlight taxa within *Salamandra* that warrant further molecular examination and taxonomic revision, notably within the *S. s. gallaica/"molleri"/bejarae* complex. This study illustrates the impact of hybridization in phylogenetic analyses and its downstream effects in the identification of conservation units and their naming in the Linnean classification.

Key words. Amphibia, Caudata, Salamandridae, subspecies, molecular phylogeny, phylogeography, heterozygosity, population genetics, timetree, STRUCTURE, ddRADseq.

Introduction

Hybridization is the interbreeding of groups with distinct gene pools, such as species, subspecies, or populations (ARNOLD 1997). When hybrids are fertile, hybridization can lead to gene flow - the exchange and introgression of alleles between these gene pools (GOMPERT & BUERKLE 2016). Both hybridization and introgression can have profound consequences for the genetic diversity of populations throughout the course of their evolution (MALLET et al. 2016). The outcome of hybridization between parental species is largely affected by reproductive barriers that are typically stronger with increased evolutionary divergence, and therefore, hybridization occurs more often between closely related organisms than between distant relatives (ARNOLD et al. 1999). In nature, hybridization and introgression between parapatric lineages typically lead to the formation of hybrid zones, which are narrow geographical areas where the distributions of two lineages and their hybrids overlap (BARTON & HEWITT 1985, GOMPERT et al. 2017). Intraspecific hybridization – hybridization between lineages of the same species - implies that neither hybridization nor gene flow are affected by reproductive barriers (COATES et al. 2018), and introgression can expand over a wide geographic range without dispersal barriers (HILLIS et al. 2021, CHAMBERS et al. 2022).

Advances in sequencing methods have made it possible to genotype thousands of loci to detect and quantify subtle amounts of gene flow, which has resulted in a surge of studies reporting on hybridization in reptiles and amphibians at various geographic and phylogenetic scales (e.g., SINGHAL & MORITZ 2013, ZINENKO et al. 2016, RANCILHAC et al. 2021, PYRON et al. 2022, AMBU et al. 2023).

The spectrum of genetic divergence along which populations may merge or remain evolutionarily separated in the face of hybridization has often been referred to as the "gray zone" of speciation (DE QUEIROZ 1998, ROUX et al. 2016). Delineating taxa within this zone then utterly depends on the species concepts and criteria applied, which themselves condition the status of lineages in taxonomic lists, and thus their conservation value (DE QUEIROZ 2007, ROUX et al. 2016, CHAN & GRISMER 2019; DUFRESNES et al. 2023a). Renewed interest in species delimitation approaches was triggered by a paradigm shift in the definition of species, which are now most often regarded as evolutionarily independent population-level lineages, following the General Lineage Concept (MAYDEN 1997, DE QUEIROZ 1998, 2007). This concept has often been applied in concert with the Phylogenetic Species Criterion (PSC), and in this context, it has been criticized as it may lead to taxonomic inflation (AGAPOW et al. 2004; ISAAC et al. 2004). The application of a more traditional Biological Species Criterion (BSC) has been advocated in herpetology to define species boundaries (HILLIS et al. 2021) and establish standardized taxonomic lists based upon criteria that reflect reproductive isolation, such as the degree of hybridization between candidate taxa (e.g., SPEYBROECK et al. 2020).

To this end, the hybrid zones between candidate lineages in Western Palearctic anurans have served as a model system to explore the genetic mechanisms behind reproductive isolation (RI) across a continuum of divergence (DU-FRESNES et al. 2021) and provide some relevant pointers for species delimitation (SITES & MARSHALL 2003, CAMARGO & SITES 2013, FLOT 2015; DUFRESNES et al. 2020, 2021).

In parallel, the identification of infraspecific taxonomic units, such as subspecies, or of Evolutionary Significant Units (ESUs) and Management Units for conservation (MUs) (MORITZ 1994) may also be greatly affected by hybridization. Defining conspecific genetic groups has typically relied on phylogenetic or clustering analyses, often based on limited panels of genetic markers (COATES et al. 2018). The advent of genomics has extended these approaches to incorporate information on thousands of markers, allowing researchers to identify shallow phylogeographic lineages that may admix over large areas (FUNK et al. 2012, FISCHER et al. 2017, DUFRESNES et al. 2023b). On the one hand, genuine lineages may be confounded by isolation by distance (IBD, POTTER et al. 2016), i.e., the expected spatial trend of genetic differentiation between populations. On the other hand, as foreign alleles freely diffuse across lineage boundaries, populations located far from presumed parapatric areas may still be affected by admixture, and their inclusion in phylogenomic analyses can potentially result in spurious patterns, such as incorrect tree topologies or admixed individuals being retrieved as separate lineages or genetic clusters (FIRNENO et al. 2020, AMBU et al. 2023). Such issues may have profound consequences for infraspecific taxonomy, especially if it leads to the incorrect classification of populations (e.g., assigning "lineages" to the wrong taxa), which may in turn misallocate valuable conservation resources (FRANKHAM et al. 2012). However, the difficulties arising from genomic

analyses to identify conspecific phylogeographic lineages so they can be acknowledged in biodiversity inventories have so far received little empirical focus. Especially, it is a matter of interest (i) to explore the conflicting outputs of phylogenetic vs. clustering methods applied to genomic datasets, and (ii) to assess how the inclusion of admixed individuals in the analyses affects infraspecific classification.

The climatic history of Europe over the last million years, characterized by glacial and interglacial phases, has led to numerous instances of population divergence and secondary contact in amphibians (ARNTZEN et al. 2014, ZIELIŃSKI et al. 2019, GACZOREK et al. 2023). A textbook example are terrestrial salamanders in the genus Salamandra, which includes the species commonly known as fire salamanders and alpine salamanders (THIESMEIER 2004). The classification of Salamandra species and subspecies has traditionally relied on patterns of coloration (KAM-MERER 1904, BOULENGER 1911, MERTENS & MÜLLER 1940, EISELT 1958, BOSCH & LÓPEZ-BUEIS 1994). The use of molecular approaches led to the identification and description of new species and subspecies, but also revealed incongruences with the former color-based taxonomy (NASCETTI et al. 1988, STEINFARTZ et al. 2000, BEUKEMA et al. 2013; 2016). Also, different sets of molecular markers (e.g., mitochondrial vs. nuclear) produced conflicting tree topologies, precluding full resolution of phylogenetic relationships within and among species (WEISROCK et al. 2006, VENCES et al. 2014, BONATO et al. 2018). Recent studies using genome-wide approaches and an increased number of markers have provided more robust phylogenies, recognizing six species and about twenty-five subspecies of Salamandra (RODRÍGUEZ et al. 2017, DINIS et al. 2019, BURGON et al. 2021; MULDER et al. 2022).

The oldest species, *S. infraimmaculata*, is composed of several isolated populations distributed in the Near East, including the Levant region, as well as adjacent Turkey and Iran (BOZKURT et al. 2015, RODRÍGUEZ et al. 2017). Three subspecies have been described: *S. i. infraimmaculata*, *S. i. orientalis*, and *S. i. semenovi*. For the latter two, the morphological differentiation does not correspond to their proposed geographic distributions (BÖHME et al. 2013, CANDAN 2022); we hereinafter consider them as a single taxon, using the older name *semenovi*.

Salamandra corsica is found exclusively in Corsica and is most closely related to the alpine salamanders *S. lanzai* and *S. atra* (STEINFARTZ et al. 2000, ESCORIZA & HERNAN-DEZ 2019). The uniformly black *S. lanzai* is a stenoendemic species occurring in the Cottian Alps, while *S. atra* is distributed over most of the northern and eastern Alps and along the Dinaric Alps. Within the latter species, most of the uniformly black populations in the Alps are traditionally assigned to *S. a. atra*, while the partially yellow-colored subspecies *S. a. aurorae* and *S. a. pasubiensis* are stenoendemic to the Venetian Prealps, and the black *S. a. prenjensis* is distributed in the Dinaric Alps (BONATO & STEIN-FARTZ 2005, RAZPET et al. 2016, BONATO et al. 2018, ŠUNJE et al. 2021).

Salamandra salamandra has the broadest distribution range, from the Iberian to the Balkan Peninsula, and comprises most of the subspecies (about 13) recognized in the genus, with nine in the Iberian Peninsula alone (BURGON et al. 2021). Previous studies using a limited number of markers, and especially relying on mitochondrial DNA, recovered S. s. longirostris as sister to a clade including all other subspecies (GARCÍA-PARÍS et al. 2003, VENCES et al. 2014), and some authors have even considered this taxon to represent a separate species (GARCÍA-PARÍS et al. 1998, DUBOIS & RAFFAËLLI 2009). However, recent genomic studies (BURGON et al. 2021; MULDER et al. 2022) provided a different picture, with two main clades within S. salamandra. The first clade includes S. s. bernardezi from the central and western Cantabrian Mountains (Northern Spain), S. s. fastuosa from the eastern Cantabrian Mountains and western Pyrenees, and S. s. gigliolii from the Apennine Peninsula. Within this clade, recent findings have unveiled that S. s. fastuosa may be paraphyletic relative to S. s. gigliolii (BURGON et al. 2021). Moreover, salamanders restricted to a narrow geographic area within the S. s. bernardezi range exhibit a remarkable diversity of background coloration and color patterns, which led to their description as a distinct subspecies (S. s. alfredschmidti; KÖHLER & STEIN-FARTZ 2006). However, this subspecies is nested within S. s. bernardezi and has been subsequently synonymized (BEUкема et al. 2016).

The second clade containing all other S. salamandra subspecies comprises S. s. almanzoris from the Sistema Central Mountains in Central Spain, recovered as sister to all other subspecies, which formed another clade (BUR-GON et al. 2021). Within the latter, S. s. longirostris is sister to a clade formed by S. s. morenica from southern Spain north of the Guadalquivir River and S. s. crespoi from Algarve (BURGON et al. 2021, MULDER et al. 2022). In addition, two rather widely distributed subspecies in the Iberian Peninsula are S. s. bejarae and S. s. gallaica, which exhibit complex patterns of phenotypic and genetic variation and include populations to which the name S. s. "molleri" may be applicable (MERTENS & MÜLLER 1928, REIS et al. 2011, BURGON et al. 2021). Most of Central Europe is inhabited by two subspecies, the western S. s. terrestris (ranging from the north-eastern Pyrenees to West Germany) and the eastern S. s. salamandra (from the Balkan Peninsula to East Germany), with a wide contact zone in Germany (VEITH 1992, STEINFARTZ et al. 2000). More locally restricted subspecies are S. s. werneri in Greece, S. s. beschkovi in Bulgaria, and a lineage from the Montseny Massif in Catalonia, north-eastern Spain, synonymized with S. s. terrestris by many authors but sometimes referred to as S. s. "hispanica" (MERTENS & WER-MUTH 1960, GRILLITSCH & GRILLITSCH 1991, STEINFARTZ et al. 2000, Burgon et al. 2021). Burgon et al. (2021) also detected paraphyly in S. s. salamandra and S. s. werneri, raising further doubts about the current subspecies-level classification.

Salamandra algira, the sister species of S. salamandra, is distributed in Morocco and Algeria (RODRÍGUEZ et al.

2017). Five subspecies are recognized: *S. a. algira* from Algeria, the partially pueriparous *S. a. tingitana* (DINIS & VELO-ANTÓN, 2017) in the northwest Moroccan Rif, *S. a. splendens* in the southwest Moroccan Rif (both subspecies forming a contact zone), *S. a. atlantica* from the Middle Atlas, and *S. a. spleaea* restricted to the Beni Snassen Massif (ESCORIZA & COMAS 2007, MERABET et al. 2016, HERNANDEZ & ESCORIZA 2019, DINIS et al. 2019).

As aforementioned, the exact number of *Salamandra* infraspecific lineages and their distinction as subspecies in some cases continue to be matters of discussion, and such taxonomic instability affects effective conservation policies (BONATO et al. 2018, DINIS et al. 2019, BURGON et al. 2021, RANCILHAC et al. 2021). One of the primary reasons for the uncertainty might be the substantial level of admixture between lineages, as illustrated by the existence of several contact zones (Table 1). However, previous studies have not explicitly investigated range-wide admixture among phylogeographic samples, which could affect the patterns of genetic diversity and evolutionary relationships retrieved, as well as their interpretation for the formulation of taxonomic hypotheses.

In this study, we tested the effect of individual hybrid ancestry in phylogenetic analyses of *Salamandra*, with an explicit focus on the taxonomic implications. We built upon the previous double digested restriction-site associated DNA sequencing (ddRADseq) dataset of BURGON et al. (2021), substantially expanded with 300 new individuals spanning unsampled subspecies, geographical gaps, and secondary contact zones. We designed datasets with and without samples admixed between pre-identified lineages and compared the phylogeographic patterns retrieved in terms of tree topology and genetic diversity (heterozygosity and genetic structure).

Material and methods Sampling

Our dataset included 196 individual samples previously genotyped by BURGON et al. (2021) (data from Sequence Read Archive Bioproject PRJNA686117), covering all six *Salamandra* species through most of their geographic ranges (plus two *Lyciasalamandra* species as outgroups), and 300 newly sampled individuals, including two previously unsampled subspecies (i.e., *S. atra aurorae* and *S. a. prenjensis*) and an increased geographic sampling density of putative and known contact zones (e.g., *S. salamandra gallaica/bernardezi* in North-Western Spain, *S. salamandra salamandra/terrestris* in Germany, *S. algira tingitana/splendens* in northern Morocco). We used newly collected tissue samples from recent sampling campaigns as well as samples collected specifically for this study in 2021 and 2022. The samples were preserved in 100% ethanol.

All individuals were assigned to currently recognized subspecies according to known diagnostic phenotypic characters (especially coloration) and/or their geographic provenance (see Table S1).

Genomic DNA extraction, library preparation, and data processing

The Macherey-Nagel Nucleo-Spin® Tissue kit or XS Tissue kit were used to extract genomic DNA, following manufacturer protocols. Four new ddRADseq libraries were prepared following the modified protocol of RECKNAGEL et al. (2015) described in BURGON et al. (2021). Libraries included three technically replicated samples as controls. Digestion of DNA was performed using the restriction enzymes PstI-HF® and AclI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich). Unique combinations of paired barcodes combined with Illumina adapters were attached to the digested DNA, resulting in individually tagged fragments for each sample. Size selection of multiplexed samples (tight selection 383 bp, range 345-421 bp) was done using a PippinPrep (Sage Science, Beverly). In a final step, each library was amplified in an enrichment PCR using forward and reverse RAD primers. The libraries were sequenced on four runs of the Illumina NextSeq[™] 500 platform at Glasgow Polyomics, resulting in approximately 2743.4 million paired-end reads of 75 nucleotides in length. The new data is available in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under BioProject PRJNA686117. Alignments and other analysis files are available from the Zenodo repository: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10844241.

Newly sequenced products, as well as the five raw libraries of BURGON et al. (2021), were processed using STACKS v2.6.1 (CATCHEN et al. 2013). Initially, individually tagged samples were demultiplexed, and barcodes were removed using the "process_radtags" along with the "--paired" and "--inline_inline" barcode options. As one of our applied restriction enzymes (AclI) was not included in the list of supported enzymes in STACKS, it was manually added to the renz.cc file. Reads were trimmed to a length of 60 nt, and low-quality sequences (Phred score < 10) were removed. Barcodes and RAD tags were rescued using the -r flag. Retained reads from newly sequenced libraries ranged from 470.8 M to 717.9 M, while those from the re-demultiplexed libraries of BURGON et al. (2021) ranged from 601.3 M to 752 M. We chose to include the low-quality reverse reads that were discarded in BURGON et al. (2021), resulting in different numbers of retained reads between both analyses.

RAD tags were built up into a catalog using the denovo_map.pl pipeline (ustacks, cstacks, sstacks and tsv2bam). Assembly, alignment, SNP calling, and phasing were conducted with the gstacks pipeline, which was also implemented in the "denovo_map.pl" pipeline of STACKS. Mismatches allowed between stacks within individuals as well as between individuals were set to 2. Other options were set to default. Genetic datasets used in downstream analyses were obtained using the module populations of STACKS.

To assess the impact of missing data in preliminary runs, we varied the number of individuals for which a locus must have been genotyped by modifying the parameter -p (using p as the number of individuals) and fixing parameter -r to 1. These preliminary runs allowed us to flag individuals with a high proportion of missing data, which were subsequently discarded. In addition, we compared the technical replicates and kept those replicates with less missing data.

Identification of admixed individuals

In order to detect admixed individuals between clades, we conducted two exploratory analyses of the entire dataset, which includes all individuals passing the previous filtering steps (n = 392, Table S1).

First, we created a concatenated supermatrix (356,874 bp; 24,192 SNPs; p = 370; r = 1; Table S2) of the entire dataset by using the -phylip-var-all output option in STACKS. From the output file, a maximum-likelihood phylogeny was inferred using IQTree v. 1.6.12 (NGUYEN et al. 2015). The substitution model was determined with ModelFinder (KALYAANAMOORTHY et al. 2017). We calculated 100 nonparametric bootstrap replicates. Other options were set at default. The tree was visualized using the ggtree package in R (Yu et al. 2017).

In a second exploratory analysis, we used STRUCTURE (PRITCHARD et al. 2000) to identify population ancestry patterns. When generating a genotype matrix for STRUC-TURE, we choose the parameter --write-random-snp to avoid physically linked loci, set -p to 370 and -r to 1 (resulting in 2,490 SNPs; Table S3). In STRUCTURE, we conducted 10 replicate runs for each number of assumed populations (K = 20) by applying the admixture model without prior assignment of localities (LOCPRIOR) and correlated allele frequencies among populations. To process several runs simultaneously in different threads and thereby increase computational efficiency, we used Structure threader (PINA-MARTINS et al. 2017). For all runs, the number of MCMC iterations was set to 100,000 with a burnin of 20,000. In large genomic datasets with uneven sample sizes, STRUC-TURE often does not converge towards optimal solutions, and some of the clusters retrieved do not actually represent any genetic variation in the dataset, called "ghost clusters" (GUILLOT et al. 2005) or "spurious clusters" (PUECHMAILLE 2016, MEIRMANS 2019). Therefore, we examined STRUC-TURE results by using StructureSelector (LI & LIU 2018) and selected the highest K that did not include such ghost clusters (threshold 0.8). We favored the best replicate run according to the highest estimated Ln (probability of the data).

Additionally, to explore patterns of genetic structure and admixture within *S. salamandra* and *S. algira* based on more informative loci, especially in the parapatric ranges of identified lineages, five new subsets were obtained by filtering the loci present in all individuals of the subset (in an effort to reduce the amount of missing data; Table S3). For each subset, a separate STRUCTURE analysis was performed using the same settings as above, except for the maximum K (K< 10). The population ancestry coefficients retrieved from the STRUCTURE analyses were plotted as pie charts on maps created with QGIS v. 3.22.3. Based on the exploratory analyses, we created a reduced dataset of 95 non-admixed ingroup individuals, selected based on the following criteria: (i) representing – in balanced sample sizes – all major lineages identified from the analysis of the full dataset; (ii) representing all currently accepted subspecies; (iii) with the least missing data; (iv) with no signs of admixture (i.e., as suggested by intermediate ancestry coefficients and intermediate phylogenetic positions).

Time-calibrated phylogenetic reconstruction

Based on the reduced dataset (n = 95 plus two Lyciasalamandra samples used as outgroup, Table S1), a second sequence supermatrix was obtained using STACKS $(8_{35,467} \text{ bp}; 5_{1,557} \text{ SNPs}; -p = 8_{5}; -r = 1; \text{ Table S2}). \text{ A}$ time-calibrated Bayesian inference (BI) analysis was performed in BEAST 2.6 (BOUCKAERT et al. 2019), using a birth-death tree model, an optimized relaxed molecular clock (DOUGLAS et al. 2021), and a GTR+ G + I model of sequence evolution. We used the divergence time estimates and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the secondary lineage-level calibrated tree from EHL et al. (2019) to set a normally distributed prior for the crown diversification of Salamandra (i.e., the first split within the genus) (9.4 Ma [CI: 6.15 Ma, 12.76 Ma]). An initial MCMC chain was run for 10,000,000 iterations, sampling every 10,000 iterations. By applying the performance suggestions provided by the software, we set up a second run, this time with 20,000,000 iterations and sampling every 10,000 iterations. This two-step approach was applied to increase the effective sample size (ESS) of estimated parameters. For both runs, Tracer 1.7 (RAMBAUT et al. 2018) was used to monitor parameters and ensure that likelihood ESS was large (> 200) and stationary. Finally, a maximum clade credibility tree was produced in the BEAST module TreeAnnotator applying a burnin threshold of 10%. The timetree was visualized using FigTree v1.4.4 (RAM-BAUT & DRUMMOND 2018). For comparison, a maximumlikelihood tree based on the reduced dataset was reconstructed with 100 non-parametric bootstrap replicates using IQTree v. 1.6.12 and ModelFinder (NGUYEN et al. 2015, KALYAANAMOORTHY et al. 2017).

Heterozygosity

The denovo_map.pl pipeline in STACKS phases alleles cosegregating at the same locus in the same individual (following IUPAC nomenclature). We screened the two phylip input files using R for base ambiguity codes (according to IUPAC nomenclature: R, Y, S, W, K, and M) corresponding to these bi-allelic loci. The proportion of heterozygous positions among all known sites was calculated and plotted on a map using QGIS v. 3.22.3.

Results Phylogenetic analysis and population clustering: full dataset

The maximum likelihood tree based on the entire dataset (392 plus two Lyciasalamandra individuals as outgroup; 356,874 bp; 24,192 SNPs, Table S2), recovered all Salamandra species as fully supported clades (100% bootstrap support [BS], Figs 1/S1), noting that S. lanzai was represented by a single individual. Moreover, all currently accepted subspecies within S. infraimmaculata and S. algira are grouped into two independent clades (BS > 70%, Figs 1/S1). The subspecies lineages of S. atra were also monophyletic, except for S. a. atra, which comprised two distinct lineages that were not sister to each other. In the most widespread species, S. salamandra, our sampling contained many individuals sampled in hybrid zones, and the reconstructed topology differed from previous analyses (BURGON et al., 2021). Specifically, the hybrid-inclusive analysis retrieved two sister clades containing (i) the Eastern and Central European subspecies S. s. terrestris, S. s. salamandra, S. s. beschkovi, S. s. werneri, and S. s. "hispanica", and (ii) samples assigned to the Iberian and Italian subspecies S. s. fastuosa, S. s. gigliolii, S. s. "alfredschmidti", S. s. bernardezi, and S. s. gallaica (Figs 1/S1). In this tree, several taxa were paraphyletic: S. s. salamandra, S. s. fastuosa, S. s. bernardezi, S. s. gallaica, S. s. "molleri", and S. s. werneri (Figs 1/S1).

The highest number of realistically clustering genetic groups we could retrieve with STRUCTURE for the entire dataset (392 individuals; 2,490 SNPs, Table S3) was K = 11 (Figs 1/S1/2), which accordingly corresponded to runs with the highest likelihood estimates. The analysis retrieved S. infraimmaculata, S. corsica, S. atra, and S. algira as four distinct clusters, with the remaining seven clusters corresponding to S. salamandra. The last species, S. lanzai, was not reliably assigned to any cluster (a single individual was analyzed). Within S. salamandra, the identified clusters correspond to the following sets of subspecies: 1) S. s. longirostris and S. s. almanzoris (marked yellow in the corresponding figures); 2) S. s. crespoi, S. s. morenica, S. s. "molleri", and S. s. bejarae (orange); 3) S. s. gallaica (pink); 4) S. s. bernardezi and S. s. "alfredschmidti" (limegreen); 5) S. s. gigliolii and S. s. fastuosa (green); 6) S. s. salamandra (red); and 7) S. s. terrestris (maroon). Three sample groups, attributed to S. s. beschkovi, S. s. "hispanica", and S. s. werneri, display different mixes of ancestries from three clusters (pink, red, and maroon); Fig. 2.

Admixture patterns in Salamandra contact zones

The clustering analyses conducted within *S. salamandra* and *S. algira* revealed additional genetic structure and informed on admixture across the parapatric ranges of contacting lineages. In Galicia, the extent of introgression across the *S. s. gallaica/bernardezi* hybrid zone (69 individuals; 1,877 SNPs, K = 2, Table S₃) differed between the two areas sampled (Fig. 3A). Admixture extends over a greater geographic distance in populations from A Coruña

province than in populations from Lugo province, which are only separated by a few kilometers. In Western Iberia, individuals assigned to *S. s. gallaica*, *S. s. "molleri*", and *S. s. bejarae* were distinguished in up to five clusters (26 individuals; 2,732 SNPs; K = 5; Table S3; Fig. 3B). One corresponds to *S. s. gallaica*, two correspond to *S. s. "molleri*" (hereinafter named North and South), and two additional clusters correspond to *S. s. bejarae* (West and East). In the



Figure 1. Maximum-likelihood tree of the genus Salamandra based on the entire ddRADseq dataset with admixed individuals (n = 392; 356,874 bp; 24,192 SNPs, Table S2), illustrating possible phylogenetic artefacts arising from their inclusion. The arrow points to the highly supported node placing the fastuosa clade nested with S. salamandra due to the presence of individuals admixed with gallaica (compare Fig. 4). Branch support values higher than 70%, based on 100 non-parametric replicates, are indicated by white dots, and fully supported branches (100%) by black dots. For presentation purposes, clades are non-proportionally condensed; a depiction in proportion is shown in the top left corner. An uncondensed version of the tree with all sample codes and localities is provided in the supplements (Fig. S1). The colors represent simplified STRUCTURE ancestry assignments (K = 11; 2,490 SNPs), also shown in detail Fig. S1. A mix of multiple ancestries (not shown here) was recovered in S. lanzai (asterisk). Non-monophyletic taxa are indicated by bold names. Disputed subspecies names are in quotation marks.

Pyrenees, two clusters were retrieved corresponding to S. s. fastuosa and S. s. terrestris; the latter also included samples assigned to S. s. "hispanica" (30 individuals; 2,786 SNPs; K = 2; Table S3; Fig. 3C). Admixture between these clusters was detected in the Central Pyrenees (Val d'Aran and Bagnères-de-Bigorre) and in one population at La Bastide-Clairence, close to the French Atlantic coast (Fig. 3C). Further east, the subset analysis encompassing S. s. terrestris and S. s. salamandra (117 individuals, 567 SNPs, K = 3, Table S3, Fig. 3D) retrieved these subspecies as distinct clusters, with wide admixture in Northern Germany, and a third cluster further distinguishes the southern vs. northern populations of S. s. salamandra (North and South). Finally, within S. algira four clusters were retrieved, each corresponding to a distinct subspecies, except for S. algira spelaea which clustered together with S. algira algira (42 individuals, 1,463 SNPs, K = 4, Table S3, Fig. 3E). The analysis revealed admixture between S. algira tingitana and S. algira splendens, which was regionally restricted to a hybrid zone along the Oued Laou in the Rif Mountains (Morocco, Fig. 3E).

Time-calibrated phylogenetic analysis: reduced dataset

Based on selected samples, the time-calibrated Bayesian tree and the maximum-likelihood tree (6,392 RAD tags, 835,467 bp; 51,557 SNPs; Table S2; Fig. 4) supported the spe-

cies-level topology of the exploratory phylogenetic analysis (Figs 1/S1).

Phylogenetic relationships within S. salamandra, however, differ from the full-dataset analysis. A first two major clade contained S. s. bernardezi, S. s. "alfredschmidti", S. s. fastuosa, and S. s. gigliolii (clade B in Fig. 4), and a second one contained all other subspecies (clade A in Fig. 4), a pattern more consistent with the recent literature (BURGON et al., 2021). The divergence between these two clades was estimated at 5.55 million years (Ma, 95% confidence interval of 8.14-2.59 Ma). Both clades (A and B) were fully supported (1.0 PP and 100% BS) but their phylogenetic position as sister clades was not. Within S. salamandra, most subspecies form either fully or highly supported clades (PP > 0.95, BS > 70), except for S. s. "molleri" and S. s. werneri, which were retrieved as paraphyletic as their respective phylogeographic lineages do not branch together. Moreover, the analysis confirmed the phylogenetic position of S. s. longirostris as the sister lineage of S. s. morenica and S. s. crespoi, with an estimated divergence time of 3.07 Ma (4.71-1.43 Ma). We further note that the Italian S. s. gigliolii branches with the Iberian lineages of clade B, and its divergence from S. s. fastuosa was estimated to be 1.19 Ma (0.48–1.8 Ma).

Within S. *algira*, the crown divergence was estimated at 6.26 Ma (9.08–2.83 Ma). All subspecies of S. *algira* were robustly supported in the phylogeny, noting that the split between the most closely related S. *a. algira* and S. *a. spelaea* was dated to only 0.62 Ma (0.97–0.24 Ma). With-



Figure 2. Map showing averaged STRUCTURE Q ancestries (K = 11) of all sampled populations based on ddRADseq data (see Table S1 for locality information of all samples). Approximate distribution ranges of the six *Salamandra* species (IUCN 2009, 2021a, b, c, BONA-TO et al. 2018, CANDAN 2022) are represented by grey shadow shapes on the map. The color scheme used is consistent with Figs 1/S1.



Figure 3. Admixture patterns in four contact zones of *Salamandra salamandra* (A–D) and in *S. algira* (E). Pie charts depict Q ancestries from five independent STRUCTURE analyses based on separately filtered subsets (see Table S3 for details). Approximate distribution ranges of the two *Salamandra* species (IUCN 2009, 2021a, b, c) are represented by grey shadow shapes on the map. A, S. s. gallaica [pink] and *S. s. bernardezi* (West) [dark green]; B, S. s. gallaica [pink], S. s. "molleri" (North) [rust orange], S. s. "molleri" (South) [orange]; S. s. bejarae (West) [beige], and S. s. bejarae (East) [light brown], C, S. s. fastuosa [green] and S. s. terrestris (top), S. s. "hispanica" (bottom) [maroon]; D, S. s. terrestris [maroon], S. s. salamandra (North) [red], and S. s. salamandra (South) [greyish pink]; E, S. a. tingitana [navy], S. a. splendens [cyan], S. a. atlantica [teal], and S. a. spelaea [isolated population in Morocco] + S. a. algira [blue].

OW.	
e fl	
gen	
to	
Ices	
eren	
refé	
ith	
S W	
ıdie	
stu	
ous	
revi	
u b	
ly i	
ical	
net	
d ge	
yze	
nal	
es a	
oeci	
)s]	
Sub	
lra (
апс	
lam	
f Sa	
s of	
one	
ct z	
nta	
õ	
e 1.	
Iabl	
۲.	

(Sub)species in contact	Contact zone	Gene flow/admixture	Number of samples/ localities	Type of markers	Number of loci
Salamandra s. crespoi and S. s. gallaica	Sesimbra and Alcoutim, Portugal	"S. s. crespoi displays signs of gene flow among the sampled locations whereas S. s. gallaica shows evidence of some restriction to gene flow" (REIS et al. 2011)	168/12 (REIS et al. 2011)	cytB [mtDNA] (REIS et al. 2011)	. 1 (REIS et al. 2011)
S. s. gallaica and S. s. bernardezi	Galicia/Asturias, Spain	"limited gene flow at the nuclear level" indicated by brick bars in Fig. 5 (GARCÍA-PARÍS et al. 2003); "widespread presence of admixed phenotypes in this contact zone.", Fig. 2 (VELO-ANTÓN et al. 2021)	?/? (García-París et al. 2003), 129/86 (Velo-Antón et al. 2021)	allozyme [nuDNA] (GARCŕA-PARís et al. 2003), µsat [nuDNA] (VELO-ANTÓN et al. 2021)	33 (García-París et al. 2003), 15 (Velo-Anrón et al. 2021)
S. s. bernardezi and S. s. fastuosa	Asturias/Cantabria, Spain	"we also found a high proportion of admixed individuals", Fig. 4 (Velo-ANTÓN et al. 2021)	123/58 (VELO-ANTÓN et al. 2021)	μsat [nuDNA] (VELO- Anτón et al. 2021)	15 (VELO-ANTÓN et al. 2021)
S. s. fastuosa and S. s. terrestris	Navarra, Spain	"limited gene flow at the nuclear level" indicated by brick bars in Fig. 5 (GARCÍA-PARÍS et al. 2003)	?/? (García-París et al. 2003)	allozyme [nuDNA] (García-París et al. 2003	33 (García-París) et al. 2003)
 S. s. bernardezi and S. s. bejarae (S. s. gallaica according to our findings). 	Cantabrian Mountains, Spain	"negligible signs of admixture and hybridization between the larviparous and large S. s. <i>bejarae</i> and the pueriparous and small S. s. <i>bernardezi</i> ", Fig. 3 (VELO-ANTÓN et al. 2021)	73/38 (VELO-ANTÓN et al. 2021)	µsat [nuDNA] (VELO- ANTÓN et al. 2021)	15 (VELO-Анто́м et al. 2021)
 S. s. bejarae and S. s. gallaica (likely S. s. "molleri" [North] according to our find- ings). 	Iberian Central System	"significant gene flow across all contact zones", Fig. 4 (PEREIRA et al. 2016)	10/4 (Рекелка et al. 2016)	coding gene [nuDNA]	5 (PEREIRA et al. 2016)
S. s. bejarae and S. s. almanzoris	Iberian Central System	"significant gene flow across all contact zones", Fig. 4 (PEREIRA et al. 2016)	37/14 (Pereira et al. 2016)	coding gene [nuDNA]	5 (PEREIRA et al. 2016)
S. s. terrestris and S. s. salamandra	Germany	"The existence of two clines at the loci Ck-1 and Pgm document introgression between S. s. salamandra and S. s. terrestris." (VEITH 1992); Fig. 1 (WEITERE et al. 2004)	2183/48 (Verтн 1992)	allozyme [nuDNA] (VEITH 1992)	14 (Veith 1992)
S. salamandra and S. atra	Central Switzerland	" no signs of hybridization were observed" (VENCES et al. 2014)	45/1 (VENCES et al. 2014)	coding gene [nuDNA] (VENCES et al. 2014)	2 (VENCES et al. 2014)
S. algira tingitana and S. a. splendens	Moroccan Rif, Morocco	"continuous gene flow between <i>S. a. tingitana</i> and <i>S. a. splendens</i> in the Rif Mountains" (DINIS et al. 2019)	?/6 (DINIS et al. 2019)	µsat [nuDNA] (DINIs et al. 2019)	14 (DINIS et al. 2019)

Phylogenomics and subspecies delimitation in Salamandra

SVEN GIPPNER et al.

Table 2. Evidence of species-level distinction between all pairs of *Salamandra* species. ¹RODRIGUEZ et al. (2017); ²Fig. 4 (this study); RODRIGUEZ et al. (2017), BURGON et al. (2021); ³ calculated from sequences published in RODRIGUEZ et al. (2017); ⁴based on Fig. 4; ⁵THIESMEIER & GROSSENBACHER (2004), NASCETTI et al. (1988); DEGANI (1986); SPARREBOOM (2014); ⁶MULDER et al. (2022); ⁷VENCES et al. (2014).

Pairwise species comparisons	Reciprocally monophyletic (mtDNA ¹ /phy- logenomics ²)	uncorrected pairwise distance (16S) ³	Estimated age in million years ⁴	Diagnostic morpho- logical differences ⁵	Distinct reproductive mode ⁶	Syntopy without admixture ⁷
S. atra Laurenti, 1768 vs. S. corsica Savi, 1838	yes/yes	3.5%	8.09	yes	yes	no
S. <i>atra</i> Laurenti, 1768 vs. S. <i>lanzai</i> Nascetti, Andreone, Capula & Bullini, 1988	yes/yes	3.8%	6.61	yes	no	no
S. infraimmaculata Martens, 1885 vs. S. lanzai Nascetti, Andreone, Capula & Bullini, 1988	yes/yes	4.0%	8.89	yes	yes	no
S. salamandra (LINNAEUS, 1758) vs. S. infraimmaculata MARTENS, 1885	yes/yes	4.0%	8.89	yes	partially	no
S. salamandra (Linnaeus, 1758) vs. S. lanzai Na- scetti, Andreone, Capula & Bullini, 1988	yes/yes	4.0%	7.51	yes	partially	no
S. algira Bedriaga, 1883 vs. S. lanzai Nascetti, Andreone, Capula & Bullini, 1988	yes/yes	4.1%	7.51	yes	partially	no
S. algira BEDRIAGA, 1883 vs. S. infraimmaculata Martens, 1885	yes/yes	4.2%	8.89	yes	partially	no
S. salamandra (LINNAEUS, 1758) vs. S. atra Laurenti, 1768	yes/yes	4.2%	7.51	yes	partially	yes
S. salamandra (LINNAEUS, 1758) vs. S. algira Bedriaga, 1883	yes/yes	4.4%	6.26	yes	partially	no
S. atra Laurenti, 1768 vs. S. infraimmaculata Martens, 1885	yes/yes	4.4%	8.89	yes	yes	no
S. corsica Savi, 1838 vs. S. lanzai Nascetti, Andreone, Capula & Bullini, 1988	yes/yes	4.4%	8.09	yes	yes	no
S. corsica SAVI, 1838 vs. S. infraimmaculata MARTENS, 1885	yes/yes	4.5%	8.89	yes	no	no
S. salamandra (LINNAEUS, 1758) vs. S. corsica SAVI, 1838	yes/yes	4.7%	8.09	yes	partially	no
S. atra Laurenti, 1768 vs. S. algira Bedriaga, 1883	yes/yes	5.3%	7.51	yes	partially	no
S. corsica SAVI, 1838 vs. S. algira Bedriaga, 1883	yes/yes	5.4%	8.09	yes	partially	no

in *S. atra*, the crown divergence was estimated at 2.85 Ma (4.56–1.26 Ma), with the youngest lineages being *S. a. aurorae* and *S. a. pasubiensis* (0.5 Ma, CI: 0.83–0.21 Ma). Finally, the oriental *S. infraimmaculata* was retrieved as the sister group of all other *Salamandra* species, with a crown divergence estimated at 2.93 Ma (4.55–1.21 Ma, Fig. 4G).

Heterozygosity

In the alignment of 2,730 RAD tags (356.874 bp; 24,192 SNPs; Table S2), the percentage of heterozygous sites varied from 0.01% to 0.32% between individuals. The proportion of heterozygous sites was the highest in *S. salamandra*, with a median of 0.14% (0.144% mean, 0.062% standard deviation [sd], Fig. 5). Conversely, the single *S. lanzai* individual had the lowest proportion of heterozygous sites (0.029%), followed by *S. corsica* (0.033% median, 0.034% mean, 0.009% sd), *S. atra* (0.035% median, 0.032% mean, 0.01% sd), *S. algira* (0.067% median, 0.074% mean, 0.033% sd), and *S. infraimmaculata* (0.069% median, 0.063% mean, 0.035% sd, Fig. 5).

Discussion

As illustrated by our case study in genus *Salamandra*, identifying phylogeographic diversity, notably acknowledging this diversity as infraspecific units through taxonomic recognition, or as ESUs or MUs is challenging when lineages hybridize and large parts of population ranges show pervasive admixture (COATES et al. 2018). Infraspecific units, such as subspecies, are usually seen as reflecting patterns of geographic variation emerging from genetic drift or local adaptation. They may display different phenotypes and/ or represent different lineages. Therefore, a comprehensive, Phylogenomics and subspecies delimitation in Salamandra



Figure 4. Time-calibrated Bayesian tree of the genus *Salamandra* based on a ddRADseq alignment (n = 95; 835,467 bp; 51,557 SNPs; Table S2) of the reduced dataset with selected, non-admixed individuals representing lineages identified from the entire dataset (Fig. 1). White dots on nodes indicate branch support (> 0.95 posterior probability [PP] and > 70% non-parametric bootstrap [BS]), while black dots denote full branch support (1.0 PP and 100% BS). Transitions between Miocene/Pliocene and Pliocene/Pleistocene are indicated by dotted vertical lines. The outgroups (*Lyciasalamandra*) were removed to improve readability. A map with samples shown here is presented in Fig. S2. Salamanders are not to scale. Disputed subspecies names are in quotation marks.

multifaceted, integrative approach is necessary to delineate subspecies boundaries, notably by taking population history, phenotypic differences, and geographic range into account.

The effect of hybrids on phylogenetic delimitation

Genomes are usually inherited within populations that share a common ancestor, and their evolutionary history can usually be described by a bifurcating tree. However, this is impeded when genes are exchanged between more distantly related populations, for instance, through hybridization and subsequent introgression (MALLET 2005). This can lead to reticulated evolution that is best described by a network rather than a bifurcating tree. Reticulated evolution, as best studied through allopolyploid hybrid species, is frequent in many groups, e.g., plants (polyploidization) or prokaryotes (horizontal gene transfer), and also in some animals, including vertebrates (GRANT & GRANT 1992, MALLET et al. 2016).

Hybridization and introgression pose challenges to phylogenetic reconstruction and to the taxonomic identification of candidate lineages. Organellar markers (in animals, mitochondrial genes) of matrilinear inheritance are often used as DNA barcodes, both to identify taxa, nota-

bly cryptic taxa (Pons et al. 2006), and to delimit them as distinct lineages. These analyses can be severely biased by introgressive hybridization. Historical exchanges can cause mitochondrial trees to depart from species trees, while recent exchanges can lead to the misidentification of specimens used in phylogenetic analyses, and thus skew tree-based taxonomic conclusions (EDWARDS et al. 2016, BONNET et al. 2017, DUFRESNES & JABLONSKI 2022). With nuclear DNA, especially with phylogenomic analyses, introgression can have additional consequences for phylogenetic tree reconstruction (Pyron et al. 2022, AMBU et al. 2023). In such cases, the inclusion of hybrids may lead to artificial topologies, such as ladder-like patterns mediated by the relative proportion of ancestry from each parental lineage, combined with the respective placement of these lineages in the phylogeny. Admixed samples between nonsister lineages are the most problematic, as they expectedly form distinct lineages that branch close to neither of their parents, hence mimicking candidate species or subspecies (DOLINAY et al. 2021, CHAN et al. 2022, PYRON et al. 2022). Both of these artefacts are observable in our exploratory tree based on the full data set (Fig. S1A), where many admixed individuals from the S. s. salamandra/terrestris contact zone (Fig. 3D, Table S1) form a ladder-like topology intertwined by one S. s. terrestris individual from Western France (admixed by S. s. fastuosa). In addition, the inclu-



Figure 5. Proportion of heterozygous sites per population in the genus *Salamandra*, calculated from the ddRADseq alignment (356.874 bp) used as input for the maximum-likelihood tree in Fig. 1. The distribution of individuals is presented in the boxplots and grouped by species. Approximate distribution ranges of the six *Salamandra* species (IUCN 2009, 2021a, b, c, BONATO et al. 2018, CANDAN 2022) are represented by grey shadow shapes on the map. Color scale: > 0.22% red, 0.17–0.22% orange, 0.12–0.17% yellow, 0.07–0.12% green, < 0.07% blue.

ı u	
Gree	
les: (
r coc	
Colo	
ed. (
nalyz	al.
dy aı	leutr
stent	y – r
consi	, gre
not e	tatus
e or	ain s
ilabl	lcert
nava	se/ur
ata u	denc
to dá	is evi
fers	nonŝ
IA re	idma
es. N	- M
speci	yello
subs	tus, j
ndra	d sta
lama	orte
of Sa	Idnst
less (nt/ur
inctr	eme
dist	e stat
a for	ictive
riteri	ıtrad
on c	- cor
nitati	red .
delin	atus,
cent o	ed st
diffeı	porte
) mo	dns/;
ice fr	lence
riden	evid
3. Ev	rtive
e	<u> </u>

Taxonomic status a- a-	s. supported	<pre>supported (denser sampling in South-Western Bulgaria and in-depth delimitation from S. s. sala- mandra needed)</pre>	<pre>supported (split between Northern and Southern lineage required to recover monophyly)</pre>	<pre>supported (denser sampling in North-Eastern Spain and in-depth delimitation from S. s. terrestris needed)</pre>	s. supported t)	s. supported nd ?')	 s. uncertain (denser sampling in North-Eastern S. Portugal and in-depth delimitation between S. s. "molleri" [North], S. s. gallaica, and S. s. "molleri" [South] required, split may be needed to recover monophyly) 	 s. supported (denser sampling in Central Spain and) in-depth delimitation from S. s. "molleri" [North] needed) 	<pre>supported (denser sampling in Southern Spain/ Portugal and in-depth delimitation from S. s. crespoi needed)</pre>	s. supported	supported	s. supported	s. supported S.)
Secondary contact zon identified (T ble 1, Fig. 3	yes (with <i>S. terrestris</i>)	NA	NA	NA	yes (with S. salamandra	yes (with S. bernardezi ai S. s. "molleri	yes (with <i>S</i> . gallaica and <i>s</i> . bejarae)	yes (with S. almanzoris	NA	yes (with <i>S</i> . gallaica)	ou	yes (with S. bejarae)	yes (with S. gallaica and s. terrestris
Nested within another subspe- cies with name priority (Fig. 4)	ou	ou	ou	ou	ou	ou	оп	ou	ou	OU	OU	OU	ou
Monophyletic in our phylogenomic analysis with reduced dataset (Fig. 4, this study)	yes (fully supported), two monophyletic lin- eages (North/South)	yes (fully supported)	no , two paraphyletic lineages (North/South)	yes (supported)	yes (fully supported)	yes (supported)	no , two paraphyletic lineages (North/South)	<pre>yes (unsupported), two monophyletic lineages (West/East)</pre>	yes (fully supported)	NA (only one individual included)	yes (fully supported)	yes (fully supported)	yes (fully supported)
Monophyletic in our phylogenomic analysis with admixed individu- als (Fig. 1, this study)	он	yes	оп	yes	yes	оп	ou	yes	yes	VA (only one individual included)	yes	yes	оп
Monophyletic in previous phylog- enomic analyses v (literature)	no (Burgon et al. 2021)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	no (BURGON et al. 2021)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	no (Burgon et al. 2021)	no (Burgon et al. 2021)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	yes (Burgon et 1 al. 2021)	yes (BURGON et al. 2021)	yes (BURGON et al. 2021)	no (Burgon et al. 2021)
Monophyletic in mtDNA tree (litera- ture)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	yes (VENCES et al. 2014)	NA	yes (VENCES et al. 2014)	NA	NA
Subpecies	S. s. salamandra (LINNAEUS, 1758)	S. s beschkovi OBST, 1981	S. s. wetneti Sochurek & Gayda, 1941	S. s. "hispanica" Wolterstorer, 1937	S. s. terrestris Lacépède, 1788	S. s. gallaica López-Seoane, 1885	S. s. "molleri" Bedriaga, 1889	S. s. bejarae Wolterstorff, 1934	S. s. motenica Joger & Steinfartz, 1994	S. s. crespoi MALKMUS, 1983	S. s. longirostris Joger & Steinfartz, 1994	S. s. almanzoris Müller & Hellmich, 1935	S. s. fastuosa Schreiber, 1912

Phylogenomics and subspecies delimitation in Salamandra

able 3 continued							
ubpecies	Monophyletic in mtDNA tree (litera- ture)	Monophyletic in previous phylog- enomic analyses (literature)	Monophyletic in our phylogenomic analysis with admixed individu- als (Fig. 1, this study)	Monophyletic in our phylogenomic analysis with reduced dataset (Fig. 4, this study)	Nested within another subspe- cies with name priority (Fig. 4)	Secondary contact zone identified (Ta- ble 1, Fig. 3)	Taxonomic status
S. s. gigliolii EISELT & LANZA, 1956	NA	yes (BURGON et al. 2021)	yes	yes (fully supported)	ou	NA	supported
S. s. "alfredschmidti" KÖHLER & STEINFARTZ, 2006	no (BEUKEMA et al. 2016), yes (VENCES et al. 2014)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	yes	NA (only one individual included)	yes (S. s. bernar- dezi [East])	NA	unsupported (synonym of S. s. <i>bernardezi</i> , in agreement with BEUKEMA et al. 2016)
S. s. bernardezi Woltferstorer, 1928	no (BEUKEMA et al. 2016)	no (Burgon et al. 2021)	оц	no , two paraphyletic lineages (East/West)	оп	yes (with S. s. gallaica and S. s. fastuosa)	supported (denser sampling in Northern Spain and in-depth delimitation between <i>S. s. bernardezi</i> (West), <i>S. s. bernardezi</i> (East), and <i>S. s. fastuosa</i> required, split needed to recover monophyly
S. algira algira BEDRIAGA, 1883	no (DINIS et al. 2019), yes (MERABET et al. 2016)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021), yes (Dinis et al. 2019)	yes	yes (fully supported)	оп	QI	supported
S. algira spelaea Escoriza & Comas, 2007	<pre>yes (DINIS et al. 2019), yes (MERABET et al. 2016)</pre>	yes (Burgon et al. 2021), yes (Dinis et al. 2019)	yes	yes (fully supported)	оп	OLI	supported
S. algira atlantica Hernandez & Escoriza, 2019	yes (DINIS et al. 2019)	yes (BURGON et al. 2021), yes (DINIS et al. 2019)	yes	yes (fully supported)	оп	OL	supported
S. algipa splendens Beukema, de Pous, Donaire- Barroso, Bogaerts, Garcia-Porta, Escoriza, Arribas, El Mouden & Carranza, 2013	yes (DINIS et al. 2019), yes (MERABET et al. 2016)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021), yes (Divis et al. 2019)	yes	yes (fully supported)	0H	yes (with <i>S. al-</i> gira tingitana)	supported
S. algira tingitana Donaire-Barroso & Bogaerts, 2003	yes (DINIS et al. 2019), yes (VENCES et al. 2014), yes (MERABET et al. 2016)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021), yes (Dunis et al. 2019)	yes	yes (fully supported)	2	yes (with <i>S. al-</i> gira splendens)	supported
S. atra atra LAURENTI, 1768	no (ŠUNJE et al. 2021)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	ou	no , two lineages (North/South)	ou	ou	supported (split between Northern and Southern lineage needed to recover monophyly)
S. atra prenjensis MIKSIC, 1969	yes (ŠUNJE et al. 2021)	NA	yes	yes (fully supported)	yes (S. atra atra)	ou	supported
S. atra aurorae TREVISAN, 1982	yes (VENCES et al. 2014), yes (ŠUNJE et al. 2021)	NA	yes	yes (fully supported)	yes (S. atra atra)	ou	supported

Sven Gippner et al.

Fable 3 continued

Subpecies	Monophyletic in mtDNA tree (litera- ture)	Monophyletic in previous phylog- enomic analyses (literature)	Monophyletic in our phylogenomic analysis with admixed individu- als (Fig. 1, this study)	Monophyletic in our phylogenomic analysis with reduced dataset (Fig. 4, this study)	Nested within another subspe- cies with name priority (Fig. 4)	Secondary contact zone identified (Ta- ble 1, Fig. 3)	Taxonomic status
S. atra pasubiensis Bonato & Steinfartz, 2005	yes (ŠUNJE et al. 2021)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	yes	yes (fully supported)	yes (S. atra atra)	ou	supported
S. infraimmaculata infraimmaculata MARTENS, 1885	yes (VENCES et al. 2014)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	yes	yes (fully supported), two lineages (North/ South)	оп	NA	supported
S. infraimmaculata semenovi Nesrerov, 1916	yes (STEIN- FARTZ et al. 2000)	yes (Burgon et al. 2021)	yes	yes (fully supported), three lineages (North/ Central/South)	ou	NA	supported (in-depth delimitation from conspecific lineages needed, old divergence estimates between Southern and Northern/Central lineages might result in split)
S. infraimmaculata orientalis Wourersrorer, 1925	yes (Stein- FARTZ et al. 2000)	NA	ΥΥ Υ	NA	NA	Ч Ч	mcertain (geographic distribution and morpholog- ical differentiation disputed, therefore not assigned to any samples in this study. Might be applicable to S. i. <i>infraimmaculata</i> (North), S. i. <i>semenovi</i> (South) or S. i. <i>semenovi</i> (Central). Denser sampling in southern Turkey and from type locality (Adana) needed for delimitation from conspecific lineages)

sion of *S. s. bernardezi/gallaica* hybrids is likely responsible for twisting the position of clade B within the other *salamandra* subspecies. Hence, the comparison of the two phylogenetic analyses – with and without admixed individuals (Figs 1/4) – illustrates the need to carefully screen for admixture before drawing taxonomic conclusions from phylogenomic patterns (see also UNMACK et al. 2022, AMBU et al. 2023).

Species or subspecies? Lineages in the "gray-zone" of species delimitation

According to traditional and long-established taxonomic conventions and within the framework of the International Code for Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), taxa can be assigned to two hierarchical terminal ranks, i.e., species and subspecies (RIDE et al. 1999). All six Salamandra species were successfully identified in phylogenetic and exploratory clustering analyses, except S. lanzai in the latter. However, the artefactual mix of multiple ancestries retrieved for populations represented by one or a few individuals, as in the case of S. lanzai in our data set, is a well-known limitation of STRUCTURE, especially for large datasets with uneven sample sizes (PUECHMAILLE 2016, MEIRMANS 2019). As discussed above, the correct assignment of infraspecific taxonomic units to distinct lineages was massively impeded when admixed individuals were not excluded beforehand. The exclusion of hybrids alleviated these issues; however, the numbers of genetic clusters retrieved and admixture patterns between them differed between analyses conducted at different phylogenetic scales, which complicates their identification and candidacy for taxonomic recognition (RANCILHAC et al. 2023).

While there is general agreement that two populations should be considered different species when they face complete or near-complete reproductive isolation, the classification of taxa in the gray zone of speciation and below remains disputed. Hence, the subspecies rank has been the subject of various definitions, each with its virtues and limitations (WILSON and BROWN 1953, MAYR 1982, FROST & HILLIS 1990, DE QUEIROZ 2020). In recent years, a growing debate has questioned the usefulness of subspecies in taxonomy, with some authors suggesting that they should be de-emphasized or not used at all (PADIAL & DE LA RIVA 2021, BURBRINK et al. 2022), while others propose the opposite (DUFRESNES et al. 2023a, VENCES et al. 2024).

According to HILLIS (2020), and building upon FROST & HILLIS (1990), "the subspecies category could be used theoretically for sublineages not incontrovertibly removed from the possibility of interaction with other sublineages". Further, it is argued that subspecies could be used for formerly isolated "sublineages within one species that are now reproductively interacting" again, rather than being employed to name slices of continuous geographical variation that do not represent lineages (HILLIS 2020). Under this definition, the extent of introgression in a hybrid zone, as often quantified by the width of geographic sigmoïd clines fit on allele frequencies (BARTON, 1983) can be used to measure reproductive isolation and thus define species vs. subspecies, with respect to the dispersal capabilities of organisms (DUFRESNES et al. 2021, CHAMBERS et al. 2022). Hence, assuming no dispersal barrier, lineages that come into secondary contact and form narrow hybrid zones characterized by sharp clines (suggestive of advanced RI) may be regarded as species, whereas lineages featuring wide hybrid zones with genetic admixture that is seemingly not constrained by natural selection (suggestive of little or no RI) should be regarded as subspecies (DUFRESNES et al. 2020, HILLIS et al. 2021, DUFRESNES et al. 2021, 2023a).

Within the wide distribution of Salamandra, no hybrid zones among species-level lineages have been detected so far (Table 1). Despite the syntopy of S. atra and S. salamandra in the Alps and in the Dinaric Alps, there is no evidence for introgressive hybridization, which supports their distinction as separate species within Salamandra (VENCES et al. 2014). In contrast, our analyses revealed considerable levels of admixture between parapatric subspecies both within S. salamandra and in S. algira (Fig. 3), as documented in previous studies (Table 1). By incorporating a large set of new individuals, our genomic study laid the groundwork for future in-depth hybrid zone analyses implementing transect sampling and cline analyses to investigate the actual level of reproductive isolation in Salamandra subspecies. This is particularly relevant for the contact zones of S. a. tingitana/S. a. splendens along the Oued Laou in the Moroccan Rif (Fig. 3E) and S. s. gallaica/S. s. bernardezi in the Galicia/Asturias border in NW Spain (Fig. 3A), which have been characterized using microsatellite data by DINIS et al. (2019) and VELO-ANTÓN et al. (2021), respectively, for which our ddRADseq data provides preliminary genomic information to be completed by future studies. Additionally, our study narrows down the geographic location of one contact zone between S. s. fastuosa and S. s. terrestris in the eastern Pyrenees (near Val d'Aran), as previously suspected (GARCÍA-PARÍS et al. 2003) (Fig. 3C). The populations assigned to S. s. "hispanica" (Alinya) in the southeastern Pyrenees and S. s. terrestris (Le Forquets) in the northeastern Pyrenees showed no admixture by S. s. fastuosa, despite being only ~60 km apart from Val d'Aran.

So far, there is no strong evidence to split S. salamandra into several species. Clade B, comprising S. s. fastuosa, S. s. bernardezi, S. s. gigliolii, and S. s. alfredschmidti, presents several hybrid zones with various degrees of gene flow with the subspecies of clade A (S. s. salamandra and others). Some transitions are relatively narrow, as in the Cantabrian Mountains, while others are wider, as in northern Galicia, which is characterized by heterogenous environments. (Table 1; VELO-ANTÓN et al. 2021). Evidence of extensive mitochondrial introgression across the range of S. s. bernardezi (LOURENÇO et al. 2019, FIGUEIREDO-VÁZQUEZ et al. 2021) further suggests historical hybridization between these two clades A and B. Our genomic data confirm that gene flow occurs between S. s. bernardezi (clade B) and S. s. gallaica (clade A) in the Galician contact zone, although we did not statistically quantify its extent. This contact zone is of particular interest since these hybridizing lineages (clades A and B) are divergent both in morphology (e.g., body size, head shape, and color pattern; ALARCÓN-Ríos et al. 2020) and reproductive strategies (larviparous vs. pueriparous; VELO-ANTÓN et al. 2015).

In parallel, VELO-ANTÓN et al. (2021) analyzed another contact zone between members of the two main *S. salamandra* clades, namely *S. s. bernardezi* (clade B) and populations provisionally assigned to *S. s. bejarae* (clade A) in the Cantabrian Mountains. In our analyses, however, the latter cluster with populations assigned to *S. s. gallaica* and not with populations from the type locality of *S. s. bejarae* (Fig. S1). Unlike the Galician contact zone, only a few admixed individuals were retrieved, which is a priori consistent with reproductive isolation and will warrant further analyses, notably by accounting for local landscape barriers.

Taxonomic implications of *Salamandra* phylogenomics

Through ad hoc procedures, we carefully minimized the effects of admixed individuals on phylogenomic tree reconstruction, noting that we did not account for historical gene flow, which often requires cumbersome analyses (e.g., DURAND et al. 2011). Our two phylogenetic trees, which differed in the inclusion vs. exclusion of hybrids (Fig. 1 vs. Fig. 4), did not reveal species-level topological discordances. The major disagreements were rather found within the species clades, especially regarding several subspecies that were retrieved as paraphyletic and in different phylogenetic positions in the tree including hybrids (S. s. fastuosa and S. s. gallaica, Figs 1/S1). In addition, some closely related subspecies, such as S. s. morenica, S. s. crespoi, and S. s. longirostris, were not retrieved as distinct genetic groups in the clustering analyses, although these appear as distinct lineages in both phylogenetic trees (Figs 1/S1/4). One potential reason for this discrepancy is the difficulty in obtaining single datasets that contain loci conserved enough between deeply diverged species but evolved enough polymorphism to also distinguish their young phylogeographic lineages, even more so with uneven sample sizes (PUECH-MAILLE 2016).

Our timetree, which encompassed all species and their subspecies, provides new insights on the evolutionary history of *Salamandra* with respect to previous studies (e.g., VENCES et al. 2014). Nevertheless, the divergence times presented in Fig. 4 should be interpreted with caution, as confidence intervals, particularly for older ages, are wide, which is expected since we used a single calibration point, and adequate fossil calibrations are not available for the genus *Salamandra*. Moreover, given the many examples of present-day contact zones (Table 1), lineages may have already been in contact following past range expansions during the interglacial phases of the Pleistocene (SCHMITT 2007). Hence, historical gene flow may affect our divergence time estimations in the same way it could have skewed our phylogeny, particularly for the hybridizing *S. salamandra* lineages (see above).

In the following paragraphs, we discuss the genomic evidence for infraspecific structure, phylogeography, and taxonomy for each species separately. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the available evidence for taxon delimitation and distinctiveness among *Salamandra* species and subspecies.

For *S. infraimmaculata*, our results agreed with the previously published phylogeny of BURGON et al. (2021) that also retrieved two deeply diverged lineages, here associated to the subspecies *S. i. infraimmaculata* and *S. i. semenovi*. These subspecies may come into contact in northern Syria, where *S. i. semenovi* has further diversified (Figs S1/S2). Determining their genetic interactions and, subsequently, their taxonomic status, especially the distinction of *S. s. semenovi* and *S. s. orientalis*, will require future sampling and analyses.

For S. atra, our study is the first genomic assessment of the subspecies S. a. aurorae and S. a. prenjensis. We confirmed the monophyly of the species but also retrieved the nominate subspecies S. a. atra as paraphyletic, based on its accepted distribution. Specifically, S. a. atra corresponds to two lineages, a southern one found in the southern Prealps (Orobie, Italy), which branch together with the young taxa S. a. aurorae, S. a. pasubiensis (Venetian Alps), and S. a. prenjensis (Dinaric Alps), and a northern one, here represented by two individuals from Central Switzerland. The southern lineage from Orobie was discussed as a potential candidate subspecies (BONATO et al. 2018, ŠUNJE et al. 2021), but previous research assumed relatedness to the populations of S. a. atra found across its main, northern range. The distribution range of *S. atra* in the southern Prealps is fragmented and consists of isolated patches of populations that exhibit high genetic differentiation associated with geographic barriers such as deep glacial valleys (BONATO et al. 2018). Although the fragmented populations found in the Dinarides, associated with S. a. prenjensis, are not as strongly differentiated compared to the southern Alp populations (SUNJE et al. 2021), it would be important to extend genomic analyses to additional isolates to complete our understanding of the evolutionary history of this subspecies. In contrast, the Swiss populations appear genetically homogenous across hundreds of kilometers, which implies that all these populations correspond to the northern lineage of S. a. atra (DUFRESNES et al. 2022). In light of our results, investigations should extend to additional populations previously attributed to S. a. atra, which will be necessary to propose taxonomic revisions.

For *S. lanzai* and *S. corsica*, our datasets only included a small set of individuals (N = 1 and N = 4, respectively). Using a phylotranscriptomic dataset, RODRIGUEZ et al. (2017) found the nodes connecting *S. atra, S. corsica*, and *S. lanzai* to be insufficiently resolved due to conflicting gene tree topologies that may reflect past hybridization. Conflicting results were also obtained by MULDER et al. (2022) using exome capture data and a multi-species coalescent approach: 66% of all topologies support *S. lanzai* and *S. corsica* as sister species, noting that these differ in their reproductive strategies (larviparous vs. pueriparous). In our trees, S. lanzai and S. atra form either a moderately supported (Fig. 1) or an unsupported clade (Fig. 4), and S. corsica is placed as the sister lineage of a clade grouping S. lanzai, S. atra, S. algira, and S. salamandra, however, with moderate support perhaps reflecting past introgression between S. lanzai, S. corsica, and S. atra (MULDER et al. 2022). Here, the low values of heterozygosity found in these three species (Fig. 5) do not provide an indication of hybridization. Alternatively, the separation of these three species may have occurred almost simultaneously in geological time, reflecting a "hard" polytomy, hence the difficulty in retrieving a single, robust topology (see, e.g., Ambu et al. 2023). Whatever their evolutionary history, the species status of both S. corsica and S. lanzai is evident due to their high molecular, morphological, behavioral, and ecological distinctness (Table 2).

Our findings on S. algira, based on extensive sampling, align with previous studies by DINIS et al. (2019) and BUR-GON et al. (2021): all five recognized subspecies are retrieved in the phylogenomic trees, and with high statistical support in the entire dataset (Fig. 1), despite the inclusion of samples admixed between S. a. tingitana and S. a. splendens (Fig. 3E). As in BURGON et al. (2021), we recovered S. a. tingitana as sister to a clade including all other S. algira subspecies, but contrary to the ddRADseq tree of DI-NIS et al. (2019), where S. a. splendens and S. a. tingitana are sister lineages. Our results also differ from the microsatellite inferences of DINIS et al. (2019), by not separating S. a. algira and S. a. spelaea as distinct clusters (Fig. 3E). Nonetheless, S. a. spelaea forms a supported, albeit shallow, lineage both in our phylogenomic tree (Fig. 4) and in the mitochondrial trees of MERABET et al. (2016) and DINIS et al. (2019), which may continue to justify its recognition as a valid subspecies. Finally, admixture between S. a. tingitana and S. a. splendens, despite the presence of a putative geographic barrier (Oued Laou), suggests that considering S. a. tingitana as a distinct species is premature (DUBOIS & RAFFAËLLI 2009). The identification of the contact zone between these two lineages offers a promising avenue to assess their interactions in a species delimitation framework, but for the time being, we retain the current infraspecific arrangement.

For *S. salamandra*, the deepest intraspecific node in our phylogenomic timetree distinguishes *S. s. fastuosa*, *S. gigliolii*, *S. s. bernardezi*, and *S. s. "alfredschmidti*" (clade B) from other lineages/subspecies within this species (clade A), albeit with low support (Fig. 4). In their ddRADseq tree, BURGON et al. (2021) previously retrieved a nested position of *S. s. gigliolii* within *S. s. fastuosa* and of *S. s. "alfredschmidti*" within *S. s. bernardezi*. Because the exclusion of hybrids allowed us to retrieve *S. gigliolii* and *S. s. fastuosa* as separate, fully supported clades, we hypothesize that the inclusion of *S. s. fastuosa/bernardezi* hybrids from Basque Country in our exploratory tree caused the paraphyly of *S. s. fastuosa* (Fig. S1) and might similarly explain the findings of BURGON et al. (2021). In parallel, our only sample of *S. s. "alfredschmidti*" analyzed clusters within two S. s. bernardezi samples of the same area (Fig. S2), which casts doubt on the validity of the former taxon. The lineage originally described as S. s. "alfredschmidti" is known to represent an extreme example of color polymorphism in fire salamanders (BEUKEMA et al. 2016, see also DONAIRE et al. 2016). However, no strict association between genomic differences and color differences has been found in previous studies (Burgon et al. 2020). In addition, populations assigned to S. s. "alfredschmidti" based on color traits do not occupy different habitats compared to neighboring S. s. bernardezi populations, suggesting little ecological divergence (BEUKEMA et al. 2016). Therefore, based on our results, we follow the perspective of BEUKEMA et al. (2016) and consider S. s. "alfredschmidti" as a junior synonym of S. s. bernardezi. Within the latter, we retrieve several lineages (Fig. 4), although without a robust topology, perhaps due to the sampling scheme and admixture-driven artefacts. Based on mtDNA, GARCÍA-PARÍS et al. (2003) hypothesized the presence of at least two separate lineages in S. s. bernardezi in Asturias, which is consistent with the increasing evidence for phylogeographic diversification in amphibians from this region (RECUERO & GARCÍA-PARÍS 2011, AMBU et al. 2023, DUFRESNES et al. 2023c). A broader, range-wide sampling of S. s. bernardezi thus appears timely to study its potential diversification and improve taxonomic arrangements.

Salamandra s. longirostris is a geographically isolated lineage found in the Penibetic region of Southern Spain, separated from other salamander populations by the Guadalquivir River Basin, which presumably promoted its divergence since the Pliocene (GARCÍA-PARÍS et al. 1998, AN-TUNES et al. 2018). Our timetree supports this hypothesis (Fig. 4). It has previously been found to be the sister clade to all other S. salamandra lineages based on mtDNA, but nuclear DNA analyses grouped it with the geographically proximate lineages S. s. crespoi and S. s. morenica (VENCES et al. 2014, BURGON et al. 2021). In our clustering analysis, S. s. longirostris corresponds to the same cluster as S. s. almanzoris, even though both form separate lineages in our trees – S. s. longirostris branches as the sister of a lineage comprising S. s. crespoi and S. s. morenica (Figs 1/4). Thus, different approaches yield different impressions of the relative degree of differentiation of S. s. longirostris. Its mtDNA may indicate an early divergence, that was subsequently attenuated in the nuclear genome through introgression from other S. salamandra lineages (BURGON et al. 2021). Because S. s. longirostris is presently well isolated from other S. salamandra lineages, we cannot directly assess their amount of RI, e.g., based on hybrid zone analyses. However, given the relative placements of S. s. longirostris in respect to other, putatively more diverged yet genetically compatible lineages, e.g., S. s. almanzoris and S. s. bejarae, where admixture is extensive (MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO et al. 2005, PEREIRA et al. 2016, ANTUNES et al. 2021), a species status for any of these taxa is not warranted. Finally, we confirmed the previously reported sister relationship between S. s. crespoi and S. s. morenica (REIS et al. 2011, BUR-GON et al. 2021).

Our analyses confirmed at least five genetic groups in North-Western Iberia, which correspond to S. s. bejarae, S. s. gallaica, and S. s. "molleri" (Figs 1/4). Accordingly, two of these groups were identified among populations previously assigned to the widely distributed S. s. gallaica as considered in previous studies (GARCÍA-PARÍS et al. 2003, PEREIRA et al. 2016). The southern group can be assigned to S. s. "molleri" (South), while the group found in the Serra da Estrela in Central Portugal is presently unnamed ("molleri" North). However, the intermediate phylogenetic and geographic position of the latter between S. s. "molleri" (South) and S. s. gallaica calls to investigate its origin, as these signals could reflect hybridization or isolation by distance. Such investigations will require dedicated sampling, fine-scale analyses of genetic structure and admixture, as well as statistical frameworks to disentangle among hypotheses (e.g., Approximate Bayesian Computation), combined with the genetic analysis of type localities.

Outside the Iberian Peninsula, S. s. salamandra and S. s. terrestris display widespread admixture across Germany (Fig. 3D), following secondary contact after their separation and divergence in eastern vs. western European refugial zones during the Pleistocene (STEINFARTZ et al. 2000). This is quite in line with the phylogeographic subspecies criteria proposed by HILLIS (2020; see also DUFRESNES et al. 2023, VENCES et al. 2024). Salamandra s. salamandra was further divided into two genetic groups (Fig. 3D), one present both in Germany and northern Italy, and one present in the Balkans. The paraphyly of the Greek subspecies S. s. werneri, already reported by BURGON et al. (2021), was confirmed in our phylogenetic analyses (Figs 1/4). The northern lineage of S. s. werneri from the type locality of Mount Pelion branches with S. s. beschkovi and S. s. salamandra, while the Peloponnese population, also traditionally referred to as S. s. werneri, corresponds to an unrelated lineage that might constitute a new candidate subspecies. This region is a hotspot of endemism for subspecies or even species of otherwise widespread amphibians and reptiles (DUFRESNES et al. 2019, THANOU et al. 2021, 2023). We note, however, that samples from the Balkans are underrepresented in our dataset (Fig. 3). Hence, the Peloponnese lineage could also result from demographic processes (e.g., isolation by distance). Again, taxonomic revisions should await more spatially comprehensive sampling in the easternmost range. Similar caution applies to formally distinguishing S. s. "hispanica" from S. s. terrestris, whose robust divergence (comparable to other recognized subspecies) a priori suggests a valid status.

Conclusions

This study revisits the phylogeny of *Salamandra* by augmenting previous sampling to additional localities and taxa, as well as extending analyses to genomic clustering, time tree inferences, and a critical appraisal of tree topologies with respect to identified hybrid individuals. Our results confirm the differentiation between all six species and illustrate the challenges of identifying meaningful infraspecific units when genetic diversity is influenced by introgressive hybridization. This is best seen in the incongruent tree topologies when samples of admixed ancestries are included, as frequently encountered across the ranges of Salamandra. Our spatially explicit analyses also confirmed the existence of many hybrid zones (e.g., between S. salamandra subspecies across Germany), some involving more than two lineages and thus acting as "melting pots" of diversity. Moreover, the combination of phylogenetic and population genetic methods has newly revealed or corroborated the existence of undescribed lineages of potential taxonomic and conservation interest (e.g., S. s. werneri [South], S. s. bernardezi [West], or S. a. atra [South]), which should encourage additional investigations to assess both their evolutionary origin and their taxonomic status.

While defining every single shallow lineage as a unit of biodiversity (taxon or ESU) would dilute conservation and taxonomic resources (VENCES et al. 2024), considering deep infraspecific lineages as subspecies, even when these lack obvious phenotypic differentiation, may warrant appropriate attention (e.g., KINDLER & FRITZ 2018, SCHERZ et al. 2022, DUFRESNES et al. 2023a). Importantly, one pragmatic criterion might be to keep the prevalent taxonomic tradition in the target group. In a species where several ESUs but no subspecies have been defined in the past, naming a new ESU as a subspecies while leaving other ESUs without a Linnean trinomen will create inconsistency and confusion and therefore should be avoided. A similar situation would arise in a genus like Salamandra, with a long tradition of subspecies taxonomy, if some of these were instead considered ESUs, for instance, based on a lack of obvious phenotypic differentiation following the criteria of COATES et al. (2018), or simply following the original criteria of MORITZ (1994). As formulated by FITZPATRICK (2010), any genuine standardization of a subspecies concept is precluded by the diversity of evolutionary phenomena characterizing these units, and Salamandra provides good examples: some subspecies are well-defined and phenotypically distinct mtDNA lineages recovered also in phylogenomic and clustering analyses, are in some but not all cases phenotypically distinct (e.g., S. a. aurorae, S. a. pasubiensis, S. s. longirostris), while some other subspecies are lineages with a shallow genomic and phenotypic divergence (S. s. beschkovi, S. a. spelaea), or lineages found to be massively admixed by other lineages in phylogenomic analyses (S. s. gallaica).

Our study underscores the importance of careful evaluation of the potential extent and impact of hybridization, in conjunction with other lines of evidence, and lays the groundwork for future taxonomic revisions of *Salamandra*.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to DAVID DONAIRE, AXEL HERNANDEZ, ADNANE FAWZI, ULRICH JOGER, TAHAR SLIMANI, and FRANCO ANDREONE for providing numerous important samples in the framework of previous projects, to JAMES BURGON for constructive discussions, and to BENJAMIN MONOD-BROCA for providing photos of salamanders. MV, CD, and SG were supported by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (VE247/19-1) in the framework of the Taxon-Omics priority program (SPP1991). GVA was supported by a Ramón y Cajal research grant (Ref. RYC-2019-026959-I/AEI/10.13039/501100011033) and the FCT - Foundation for Science and Technology (Grant number PTDC/BIA-CBI/2278/2020). KRE and MC were supported by the University of Glasgow. KRE and NS were supported by IAPETUS2 DTP (NERC). The work of KP and SS on German salamander populations was supported by the Deutsche Bundesstiftung Umwelt. LB was supported by the Italian National Biodiversity Future Center. Sampling was carried out under permits SF/0183/2021 of the Generalitat de Catalunya and other regional governments (Xunta, Galicia, Spain, Ref. EB016/2018; Ref. EB-019/2020 to GVA; and Ref. EB-61/2011; Ref. EB-24/2014; Ref. EB-41/2017 to PG), by the Italian Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare (Ref. 2006-REG-1585573442408 to LB), the Italian National Park of Dolomiti Bellunesi (Ref. 84/10-08-2021 to LB), and by the Federal Ministry of Environmental Protection and Tourism in Bosnia and Herzogovina (04-23-550/16 ZM) for Mount Prenj. We thank I. REY and B. ÁLVAREZ (Tissue and DNA collection, MNCN-CSIC) for access to samples under their care, and J. GAL-BRAITH and Glasgow Polyomics for their support with sequencing. Computing facilities were made available by Technische Universität Braunschweig (Phoenix cluster) and the BMBF-funded de.NBI Cloud within the German Network for Bioinformatics Infrastructure (de.NBI).

References

- AGAPOW, P., O. R. P. BININDA-EMONDS, K. A. CRANDALL, J. L. GIT-TLEMAN, G. M. MACE, J. C. MARSHALL & A. PURVIS (2004): The impact of species concept on biodiversity studies. – The Quarterly Review of Biology, **79**: 161–179.
- ALARCÓN-RÍOS, L., A. G. NICIEZA, A. KALIONTZOPOULOU, D. BUCKLEY & G. VELO-ANTÓN (2020): Evolutionary history and not heterochronic modifications associated with viviparity drive head shape differentiation in a reproductive polymorphic species, *Salamandra salamandra*. – Evolutionary Biology, 47: 43–55.
- AMBU, J., Í. MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO, T. SUCHAN, A. HERNANDEZ, B. WIELSTRA, P.-A. CROCHET & C. DUFRESNES (2023): Genomic phylogeography illuminates deep cyto-nuclear discordances in midwife toads (*Alytes*). – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **183**: 107783.
- ANTUNES, B., A. LOURENÇO, G. CAEIRO-DIAS, M. DINIS, H. GON-ÇALVES, I. MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO, P. TARROSO & G. VELO-ANTÓN (2018): Combining phylogeography and landscape genetics to infer the evolutionary history of a short-range Mediterranean relict, Salamandra salamandra longirostris. – Conservation Genetics, 19: 1411–1424.
- ANTUNES, B., G. VELO-ANTÓN, D. BUCKLEY, R. J. PEREIRA & I. MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO (2021): Physical and ecological isolation contribute to maintain genetic differentiation between fire salamander subspecies. – Heredity, 126: 776–789.
- ARNOLD, M. L. (1997): Natural hybridization and evolution. Oxford University Press, New York.
- ARNOLD, M. L., M. R. BULGER, J. M. BURKE, A. L. HEMPEL & J. H. WILLIAMS (1999): Natural hybridization: how low can you go and still be important? – Ecology, 80: 371–381.

- ARNTZEN, J. W., B. WIELSTRA & G. P. WALLIS (2014): The modality of nine *Triturus* newt hybrid zones assessed with nuclear, mitochondrial and morphological data. – Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, **113**: 604–622.
- BARTON, N. H. (1983): Multilocus clines. Evolution, 37: 454-471.
- BARTON, N. H. & G. M. HEWITT (1985): Analysis of hybrid zones. – Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 16: 113–148.
- BEUKEMA, W., P. DE POUS, D. DONAIRE-BARROSO, S. BOGAERTS, J. GARCIA-PORTA, D. ESCORIZA, O. J. ARRIBAS, E. H. E. MOUDEN & S. CARRANZA (2013): Review of the systematics, distribution, biogeography and natural history of Moroccan amphibians. – Zootaxa, **3661**: 1.
- BEUKEMA, W., A. G. NICIEZA, A. LOURENÇO & G. VELO-ANTÓN (2016): Colour polymorphism in Salamandra salamandra (Amphibia: Urodela), revealed by a lack of genetic and environmental differentiation between distinct phenotypes. – Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research, 54: 127–136.
- BÖHME, W., T. HARTMANN, J. FLECK & T. SCHÖTTLER (2013): Miscellaneous notes on oriental fire salamanders (*Salamandra infraimmaculata* Martens, 1885) (Lissamphibia: Urodela: Salamandridae). – Russian Journal of Herpetology, **20**: 66–72.
- BONATO, L. & S. STEINFARTZ (2005): Evolution of the melanistic colour in the Alpine salamander *Salamandra atra* as revealed by a new subspecies from the Venetian Prealps. Italian Journal of Zoology, **72**: 253–260.
- BONATO, L., A. CORBETTA, G. GIOVINE, E. ROMANAZZI, E. ŠUNJE, C. VERNESI & B. CRESTANELLO (2018): Diversity among peripheral populations: genetic and evolutionary differentiation of *Salamandra atra* at the southern edge of the Alps. – Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research, 56: 533–548.
- BONNET, T., R. LEBLOIS, F. ROUSSET & P.-A. CROCHET (2017): A reassessment of explanations for discordant introgressions of mitochondrial and nuclear genomes: explaining mito-nuclear discordant introgressions. Evolution, 71: 2140–2158.
- BOSCH, J. & I. LÓPEZ-BUEIS (1994): Comparative study of the dorsal pattern in *Salamandra salamandra bejarae* (Wolterstorff, 1934) and *S. s. almanzoris* (Müller & Hellmich, 1935). – Herpetological Journal, **4**: 46–48
- BOUCKAERT, R., T. G. VAUGHAN, J. BARIDO-SOTTANI, S. DU-CHÊNE, M. FOURMENT, A. GAVRYUSHKINA, J. HELED, G. JONES, D. KÜHNERT, N. D. MAIO, M. MATSCHINER, F. K. MENDES, N. F. MÜLLER, H. A. OGILVIE, L. DU PLESSIS, A. POPINGA, A. RAMBAUT, D. RASMUSSEN, I. SIVERONI, M. A. SUCHARD, C.-H. WU, D. XIE, C. ZHANG, T. STADLER & A. J. DRUMMOND (2019): BEAST 2.5: an advanced software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLOS Computational Biology, 15: e1006650.
- BOULENGER, E. G. (1911): A contribution to the study of the variations of the spotted salamander (*Salamandra maculosa*). – Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, **81**: 323–347.
- BOZKURT, E., N. ÜZÜM & M. TURAL (2015): Range extensions of two salamanders [Neurergus strauchii (Steindachner, 1887) and Salamandra infraimmaculata Martens, 1885]. – Russian Journal of Herpetology, 22: 289–296.
- BURBRINK, F. T., B. I. CROTHER, C. M. MURRAY, B. T. SMITH, S. RUANE, E. A. MYERS & R. A. PYRON (2022): Empirical and philosophical problems with the subspecies rank. Ecology and Evolution, 12: e9069.

- BURGON, J. D., D. R. VIEITES, A. JACOBS, S. K. WEIDT, H. M. GUNTER, S. STEINFARTZ, K. BURGESS, B. K. MABLE & K. R. ELMER (2020): Functional colour genes and signals of selection in colour-polymorphic salamanders. – Molecular Ecology, 29: 1284– 1299.
- BURGON, J. D., M. VENCES, S. STEINFARTZ, S. BOGAERTS, L. BONA-TO, D. DONAIRE-BARROSO, I. MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO, G. VELO-ANTÓN, D. R. VIEITES, B. K. MABLE & K. R. ELMER (2021): Phylogenomic inference of species and subspecies diversity in the Palearctic salamander genus *Salamandra*. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 157: 107063.
- CAMARGO, A. & J. J. SITES (2013): Species delimitation: A decade after the renaissance. – pp. 225–247 in: I. Y. PAVLINOV (ed.): The species problem – ongoing issues. – InTech.
- CANDAN, K. (2022): Distribution range expansion of *Salamandra infraimmaculata* Martens, 1885 (Caudata: Salamandridae) in Anatolia, Turkey, with a new locality record. – Amphibian & Reptile Conservation, **16**: 136–147.
- CATCHEN, J., P. A. HOHENLOHE, S. BASSHAM, A. AMORES & W. A. CRESKO (2013): STACKS: an analysis tool set for population genomics. – Molecular Ecology, 22: 3124–3140.
- CHAMBERS, E. A., T. L. MARSHALL & D. M. HILLIS (2022): The importance of contact zones for distinguishing interspecific from intraspecific geographic variation. – Systematic Biology, **72**: 357–371.
- CHAN, K. O. & L. L. GRISMER (2019): To split or not to split? Multilocus phylogeny and molecular species delimitation of southeast Asian toads (family: Bufonidae). – BMC Evolutionary Biology, **19**: 1–12.
- CHAN, K. O., C. R. HUTTER, P. L. WOOD JR., Y.-C. SU & R. M. BROWN (2022): Gene flow increases phylogenetic structure and inflates cryptic species estimations: a case study on widespread Philippine puddle frogs (*Occidozyga laevis*). – Systematic Biology, 71: 40–57.
- COATES, D. J., M. BYRNE & C. MORITZ (2018): Genetic diversity and conservation units: dealing with the species-population continuum in the age of genomics. – Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, **6**.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. (1998): The general lineage concept of species, species criteria, and the process of speciation. – pp. 57–75 in: HOWARD, J. & S. H. BERLOCHER (eds): Endless forms: species and speciation. – Oxford University Press, New York.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. (2007): Species concepts and species delimitation. – Systematic Biology, **56**: 879–886.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. (2020): An updated concept of subspecies resolves a dispute about the taxonomy of incompletely separated lineages. – Herpetological Review, **51**: 459–461.
- DEGANI, G. (1986): Plasma proteins and morphology of Salamandra salamandra in Israel. – Amphibia-Reptilia, 7: 105–114.
- DINIS, M. & G. VELO-ANTÓN (2017): How little do we know about the reproductive mode in the north African salamander, *Salamandra algira*? Pueriparity in divergent mitochondrial lineages of *S. a. tingitana.* – Amphibia-Reptilia, **38**: 540–546.
- DINIS, M., K. MERABET, F. MARTÍNEZ-FREIRÍA, S. STEINFARTZ, M. VENCES, J. D. BURGON, K. R. ELMER, D. DONAIRE, A. HINCKLEY, S. FAHD, U. JOGER, A. FAWZI, T. SLIMANI & G. VE-LO-ANTÓN (2019): Allopatric diversification and evolutionary melting pot in a North African Palearctic relict: the biogeographic history of *Salamandra algira*. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **130**: 81–91.

- DOLINAY, M., T. NEČAS, B. M. ZIMKUS, A. SCHMITZ, E. B. FOKAM, E. M. LEMMON, A. R. LEMMON & V. GVOŽDÍK (2021): Gene flow in phylogenomics: sequence capture resolves species limits and biogeography of Afromontane forest endemic frogs from the Cameroon Highlands. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **163**: 107258.
- DONAIRE, D., R. FONOLL & X. RIVERA (2016): Revisión fenotípica de las poblaciones asturianas de *Salamandra salamandra* (L). – Butlletí de la Societat Catalana d'Herpetologia, **23**: 7–38.
- DOUGLAS, J., R. ZHANG & R. BOUCKAERT (2021): Adaptive dating and fast proposals: revisiting the phylogenetic relaxed clock model. – PLoS Computational Biology, 17: e1008322.
- DUBOIS, A. & J. RAFFAËLLI (2009): A new ergotaxonomy of the family Salamandridae Goldfuss, 1820 (Amphibia, Urodela). – Alytes, **26**: 1–85.
- DUFRESNES, C., I. STRACHINIS, E. TZORAS, S. N. LITVINCHUK & M. DENOËL (2019): Call a spade a spade: taxonomy and distribution of *Pelobates*, with description of a new Balkan endemic. – ZooKeys 859: 131–158.
- DUFRESNES, C., M. PRIBILLE, B. ALARD, H. GONÇALVES, F. AMAT, P.-A. CROCHET, S. DUBEY, N. PERRIN, L. FUMAGALLI, M. VENCES & I. MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO (2020): Integrating hybrid zone analyses in species delimitation: lessons from two anuran radiations of the Western Mediterranean. – Heredity, **124**: 423–438.
- DUFRESNES, C., A. BRELSFORD, D. L. JEFFRIES, G. MAZEPA, T. SU-CHAN, D. CANESTRELLI, A. NICIEZA, L. FUMAGALLI, S. DUBEY, I. MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO, S. N. LITVINCHUK, M. VENCES, N. PER-RIN & P.-A. CROCHET (2021): Mass of genes rather than master genes underlie the genomic architecture of amphibian speciation. – Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118: e2103963118.
- DUFRESNES, C., N. RODRIGUES & R. SAVARY (2022): Slow and steady wins the race: contrasted phylogeographic signatures in two Alpine amphibians. – Integrative Zoology, **17**: 181–190.
- DUFRESNES, C. & D. JABLONSKI (2022): A genomics revolution in amphibian taxonomy. Science, **377**: 1272–1272.
- DUFRESNES, C., N. POYARKOV & D. JABLONSKI (2023a): Acknowledging more biodiversity without more species. – Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **120**: e2302424120.
- DUFRESNES, C., L. DUTOIT, A. BRELSFORD, F. GOLDSTEIN-WIT-SENBURG, L. CLÉMENT, A. LÓPEZ-BAUCELLS, J. PALMEIRIM, I. PAVLINIĆ, D. SCARAVELLI, M. ŠEVČÍK, P. CHRISTE & J. GOUDET (2023b): Inferring genetic structure when there is little: population genetics versus genomics of the threatened bat *Miniopterus schreibersii* across Europe. – Scientific Reports, 13: 1523.
- DUFRESNES, C., J. AMBU, P. GALÁN, F. SEQUEIRA, L. VIESCA, M. CHODA, D. ÁLVAREZ, B. ALARD, T. SUCHAN, S. KÜNZEL, I. MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO, M. VENCES & A. NICIEZA (2023c): Delimiting phylogeographic diversity in the genomic era: application to an Iberian endemic frog. – Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society: zlad170.
- DURAND, E. Y., N. PATTERSON, D. REICH & M. SLATKIN (2011): Testing for ancient admixture between closely related populations. – Molecular Biology and Evolution, 28: 2239–2252.
- EDWARDS, S. V., S. POTTER, C. J. SCHMITT, J. G. BRAGG & C. MORITZ (2016): Reticulation, divergence, and the phylogeography-phylogenetics continuum. – Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113: 8025–8032.
- EHL, S., M. VENCES & M. VEITH (2019): Reconstructing evolution at the community level: A case study on Mediterranean am-

phibians. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **134**: 211–225.

- EISELT, J. (1958): Der Feuersalamander *Salamandra salamandra* (L.). Beiträge zu einer taxonomischen Synthese. – Abhandlungen und Berichte für Naturkunde. Museum für Naturkunde, Magdeburg, **10**: 77–154.
- ESCORIZA, D. & M. M. COMAS (2007): Description of a new subspecies of *Salamandra algira* Bedriaga, 1883 (Amphibia: Salamandridae) from the Beni Snassen massif (Northeast Morocco). – Salamandra, **43**: 77–90.
- ESCORIZA, D. & A. HERNANDEZ (2019): Using hierarchical spatial models to assess the occurrence of an island endemism: the case of *Salamandra corsica*. – Ecological Processes, **8**: 1–11.
- FIGUEIREDO-VÁZQUEZ, C., A. LOURENÇO & G. VELO-ANTÓN (2021): Riverine barriers to gene flow in a salamander with both aquatic and terrestrial reproduction. – Evolutionary Ecology, 35: 483–511.
- FIRNENO JR, T. J., J. R. O'NEILL, D. M. PORTIK, A. H. EMERY, J. H. TOWNSEND & M. K. FUJITA (2020): Finding complexity in complexes: Assessing the causes of mitonuclear discordance in a problematic species complex of Mesoamerican toads. – Molecular Ecology, 29: 3543–3559
- FISCHER, M. C., C. RELLSTAB, M. LEUZINGER, M. ROUMET, F. GU-GERLI, K. K. SHIMIZU, R. HOLDEREGGER & A. WIDMER (2017): Estimating genomic diversity and population differentiation – an empirical comparison of microsatellite and SNP variation in *Arabidopsis halleri*. – BMC Genomics, 18: 1–15.
- FITZPATRICK, J. W. (2010): Subspecies are for convenience. Ornithological Monographs, **67**: 54–61.
- FLOT, J.-F. (2015): Species delimitation's coming of age. Systematic Biology, 64: 897–899.
- FRANKHAM, R., J. D. BALLOU, M. R. DUDASH, M. D. B. ELDRIDGE, C. B. FENSTER, R. C. LACY, J. R. MENDELSON, I. J. PORTON, K. RALLS & O. A. RYDER (2012): Implications of different species concepts for conserving biodiversity. – Biological Conservation, 153: 25–31.
- FROST, D. R. & D. M. HILLIS (1990): Species in concept and practice: herpetological applications. – Herpetologica, 46: 86–104.
- FUNK, W. C., J. K. MCKAY, P. A. HOHENLOHE & F. W. ALLENDORF (2012): Harnessing genomics for delineating conservation units. – Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 27: 489–496.
- GACZOREK, T. S., M. MARSZAŁEK, K. DUDEK, J. W. ARNTZEN, B. WIELSTRA & W. BABIK (2023): Interspecific introgression of MHC genes in *Triturus* newts: evidence from multiple contact zones. – Molecular Ecology, **32**: 867–880.
- GARCÍA-PARÍS, M., M. ALCOBENDAS, P. ALBERCH & M. GARCIA-PARIS (1998): Influence of the Guadalquivir River Basin on mitochondrial DNA evolution of *Salamandra salamandra* (Caudata: Salamandridae) from southern Spain. – Copeia, **1998**: 173–176.
- GARCÍA-PARÍS, M., M. ALCOBENDAS, D. BUCKLEY & D. B. WAKE (2003): Dispersal of viviparity across contact zones in Iberian populations of fire salamanders (*Salamandra*) inferred from discordance of genetic and morphological traits. – Evolution, 57: 129–143.
- GOMPERT, Z. & C. A. BUERKLE (2016): What, if anything, are hybrids: enduring truths and challenges associated with population structure and gene flow. Evolutionary Applications, **9**: 909–923.

- GOMPERT, Z., E. G. MANDEVILLE & C. A. BUERKLE (2017): Analysis of population genomic data from hybrid zones. – Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, **48**: 207–229.
- GRANT, P. R. & B. R. GRANT (1992): Hybridization of bird species. Science, **256**: 193–197.
- GRILLITSCH, H. & B. GRILLITSCH (1991): Zur Taxonomie und Verbreitung des Feuersalamanders, Salamandra salamandra (Linnaeus, 1758) (Caudata: Salamandridae), in Griechenland. Herpetozoa, 4: 133–147.
- GUILLOT, G., A. ESTOUP, F. MORTIER & J. F. COSSON (2005): A spatial statistical model for landscape genetics. – Genetics, **170**: 1261–1280.
- HERNANDEZ, A. & D. ESCORIZA (2019): A new subspecies of African fire salamander *Salamandra algira* (Urodela, Salamandridae) from the Middle Atlas Mountains, Morocco. – ZooKeys, **893**: 143–158.
- HILLIS, D. M. (2020): The detection and naming of geographic variation within species. – Herpetological Review, 51: 52–56.
- HILLIS, D. M., E. A. CHAMBERS & T. J. DEVITT (2021): Contemporary methods and evidence for species delimitation. – Ichthyology & Herpetology, 109: 895–903.
- ISAAC, N. J. B., J. MALLET & G. M. MACE (2004): Taxonomic inflation: its influence on macroecology and conservation. – Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 19: 464–469.
- IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature): 2009. Salamandra algira (spatial data). – The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2022-2. https://www.iucnredlist. org, accessed on 20 April 2023.
- IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature): 2021a. Salamandra salamandra (spatial data). – The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2022-2. https://www.iucnredlist. org, accessed on 20 April 2023.
- IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature): 2021b. Salamandra lanzai (spatial data). – The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2022-2. https://www.iucnredlist. org, accessed on 20 April 2023.
- IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature): 2021c. Salamandra corsica (spatial data). – The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2022-2. https://www.iucnredlist. org, accessed on 20 April 2023.
- KALYAANAMOORTHY, S., B. Q. MINH, T. K. F. WONG, A. VON HAESELER & L. S. JERMIIN (2017): ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. – Nature Methods, 14: 587–589.
- KAMMERER, P. (1904): Beitrag zur Erkenntnis der Verwandtschaftsverhältnisse von Salamandra atra und maculosa. – Archiv für Entwicklungsmechanik der Organismen, 17: 165–264.
- KINDLER, C. & U. FRITZ (2018): Phylogeography and taxonomy of the barred grass snake (*Natrix helvetica*), with a discussion of the subspecies category in zoology. – Vertebrate Zoology, 68: 269–281.
- Köhler, G. & S. STEINFARTZ (2006): A new subspecies of the fire salamander, *Salamandra salamandra* (Linnaeus, 1758) from the Tendi Valley, Asturias, Spain. Salamandra, **42**: 13–20.
- LI, Y.-L. & J.-X. LIU (2018): StructureSelector: A web-based software to select and visualize the optimal number of clusters using multiple methods. – Molecular Ecology Resources, 18: 176–177.
- LOURENÇO, A., J. GONÇALVES, F. CARVALHO, I. J. WANG & G. VE-LO-ANTÓN (2019): Comparative landscape genetics reveals the

evolution of viviparity reduces genetic connectivity in fire salamanders. – Molecular Ecology, **28**: 4573–4591.

- MALLET, J. (2005): Hybridization as an invasion of the genome. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, **20**: 229–237.
- MALLET, J., N. BESANSKY & M. W. HAHN (2016): How reticulated are species? BioEssays, **38**: 140–149.
- MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO, I., M. ALCOBENDAS, D. BUCKLEY & M. GAR-CÍA-PARÍS (2005): Molecular characterisation of the endangered Salamandra salamandra almanzoris (Caudata, Salamandridae). – Annales Zoologici Fennici, **42**: 57–68.
- MAYDEN, R. L. (1997): A hierarchy of species concepts: the denouement in the saga of the species problem. – pp. 381–423 in: CLARIDGE, M. F., DAWAH, H. A & M. R. WILSON (eds): Species: the units of diversity. Chapman & Hall.
- MAYR, E. (1982): Of what use are subspecies? The Auk, **99**: 593–595
- MEIRMANS, P. G. (2019): Subsampling reveals that unbalanced sampling affects STRUCTURE results in a multi-species dataset. – Heredity, **122**: 276–287.
- MERABET, K., E. SANCHEZ, A. DAHMANA, S. BOGAERTS, D. DONAIRE, S. STEINFARTZ, U. JOGER, M. VENCES, M. KARAR & A. MOALI (2016): Phylogeographic relationships and shallow mitochondrial divergence of Algerian populations of Salamandra algira. – Amphibia-Reptilia, 37: 1–8.
- MERTENS, R. & L. MÜLLER (1928): Liste der Amphibien und Reptilien Europas. – Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft, Frankfurt am Main, **41**: 1–62.
- MERTENS, R. & L. MÜLLER (1940): Liste der Amphibien und Reptilien Europas. – Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft, Frankfurt am Main, **451**: 1–56.
- MERTENS, R. & H. WERMUTH (1960): Die Amphibien und Reptilien Europas. – Verlag Waldemar Kramer, Frankfurt A. M
- MORITZ, C. (1994): Defining 'Evolutionarily Significant Units' for conservation. – Trends in Ecology & Evolution, **9**: 373– 375.
- MULDER, K. P., L. ALARCÓN-RÍOS, A. G. NICIEZA, R. C. FLEI-SCHER, R. C. BELL & G. VELO-ANTÓN (2022): Independent evolutionary transitions to pueriparity across multiple timescales in the viviparous genus *Salamandra*. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **167**: 107347.
- NASCETTI, G., F. ANDERONE, M. CAPULA & L. BULLINI (1988): A new Salamandra species from southwestern Alps (Amphibia, Urodela, Salamandridae). – Bollettino del Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Torino, **6**: 617–638.
- NGUYEN, L.-T., H. A. SCHMIDT, A. VON HAESELER & B. Q. MINH (2015): IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating Maximum-Likelihood phylogenies. – Molecular Biology and Evolution, **32**: 268–274.
- PADIAL, J. M. & I. DE LA RIVA (2021): A paradigm shift in our view of species drives current trends in biological classification. – Biological Reviews, **96**: 731–751.
- PEREIRA, R. J., I. MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO & D. BUCKLEY (2016): Hybridization during altitudinal range shifts: nuclear introgression leads to extensive cyto-nuclear discordance in the fire salamander. – Molecular Ecology, 25: 1551–1565.
- PINA-MARTINS, F., D. N. SILVA, J. FINO & O. S. PAULO (2017): Structure_threader: an improved method for automation and parallelization of programs structure, fastStructure and MavericK on multicore CPU systems. – Molecular Ecology Resources, 17: e268–e274.

- PONS, J., T. G. BARRACLOUGH, J. GOMEZ-ZURITA, A. CARDOSO, D. P. DURAN, S. HAZELL, S. KAMOUN, W. D. SUMLIN & A. P. VOGLER (2006): Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects. – Systematic Biology, 55: 595–609.
- POTTER, S., J. G. BRAGG, B. M. PETER, K. BI & C. MORITZ (2016): Phylogenomics at the tips: inferring lineages and their demographic history in a tropical lizard, *Carlia amax.* – Molecular Ecology, 25: 1367–1380.
- PRITCHARD, J. K., M. STEPHENS & P. DONNELLY (2000): Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. – Genetics, 155: 945–959.
- PUECHMAILLE, S. J. (2016): The program structure does not reliably recover the correct population structure when sampling is uneven: subsampling and new estimators alleviate the problem. – Molecular Ecology Resources, **16**: 608–627.
- PYRON, R. A., K. A. O'CONNELL, E. M. LEMMON, A. R. LEMMON & D. A. BEAMER (2022): Candidate-species delimitation in *Desmognathus* salamanders reveals gene flow across lineage boundaries, confounding phylogenetic estimation and clarifying hybrid zones. – Ecology and Evolution, 12: e8574.
- RAMBAUT, A., A. J. DRUMMOND, D. XIE, G. BAELE & M. A. SU-CHARD (2018): Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. – Systematic Biology 67: 901–904.
- RAMBAUT, A. & A. J. DRUMMOND (2018): FigTree 1.4.4. http:// tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.
- RANCILHAC, L., I. IRISARRI, C. ANGELINI, J. W. ARNTZEN, W. BABIK, F. BOSSUYT, S. KÜNZEL, T. LÜDDECKE, F. PASMANS, E. SANCHEZ, D. WEISROCK et al. (2021): Phylotranscriptomic evidence for pervasive ancient hybridization among Old World salamanders. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 155: 106967.
- RANCILHAC, L., A. MIRALLES, P. GENIEZ, D. MENDEZ-ARANDA, M. BEDDEK, J. C. BRITO, R. LEBLOIS & P.-A. CROCHET (2023): Phylogeographic breaks and how to find them: An empirical attempt at separating vicariance from isolation by distance in a lizard with restricted dispersal. – Peer Community Journal, 3: e74.
- RAZPET, A., E. ŠUNJE, B. KALAMUJIĆ, U. TULIĆ, N. POJSKIĆ, D. STANKOVIĆ, I. KRIZMANIĆ & S. MARIĆ (2016): Genetic differentiation and population dynamics of Alpine salamanders (*Salamandra atra*, Laurenti 1768) in Southeastern Alps and Dinarides. – Herpetological Journal, **26**: 109–117.
- RECKNAGEL, H., A. JACOBS, P. HERZYK & K. R. ELMER (2015): Double-digest RAD sequencing using Ion Proton semiconductor platform (ddRADseq-ion) with nonmodel organisms. – Molecular Ecology Resources, 15: 1316–1329.
- RECUERO, E. & M. GARCÍA-PARÍS (2011): Evolutionary history of Lissotriton helveticus: Multilocus assessment of ancestral vs. recent colonization of the Iberian Peninsula. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 60: 170–182.
- REIS, D. M., R. L. CUNHA, C. PATRÃO, R. REBELO & R. CASTILHO (2011): Salamandra salamandra (Amphibia: Caudata: Salamandridae) in Portugal: not all black and yellow. – Genetica, 139: 1095–1105.
- RIDE, W. D., H. G. COGGER, C. DUPUIS, A. MINELLI, F. C. THOMP-SON & P. K. TUBBS (1999): International code of zoological nomenclature. 4th edition. – The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London.
- Rodríguez, A., J. D. Burgon, M. Lyra, I. Irisarri, D. Baurain, L. Blaustein, B. Göçmen, S. Künzel, B. K. Mable, A. W. No-

LTE, M. VEITH, S. STEINFARTZ, K. R. ELMER, H. PHILIPPE & M. VENCES (2017): Inferring the shallow phylogeny of true salamanders (*Salamandra*) by multiple phylogenomic approaches. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **115**: 16–26.

- ROUX, C., C. FRAISSE, J. ROMIGUIER, Y. ANCIAUX, N. GALTIER, & N. BIERNE (2016): Shedding light on the grey zone of speciation along a continuum of genomic divergence. – PLoS Biology, 14: e2000234.
- SCHERZ, M. D., A. CROTTINI, C. R. HUTTER, A. HILDENBRAND, F. ANDREONE, T. R. FULGENCE, G. KÖHLER, S. H. NDRIANT-SOA, A. OHLER, M. PREICK, A. RAKOTOARISON et al. (2022): An inordinate fondness for inconspicuous brown frogs: integration of phylogenomics, archival DNA analysis, morphology, and bioacoustics yields 24 new taxa in the subgenus *Brygoomantis* (genus *Mantidactylus*) from Madagascar. – Megataxa, 7: 113–311.
- SCHMITT, T. (2007): Molecular biogeography of Europe: Pleistocene cycles and postglacial trends. – Frontiers in Zoology, 4: 1–13.
- SINGHAL, S. & C. MORITZ (2013): Reproductive isolation between phylogeographic lineages scales with divergence. – Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 280: 20132246.
- SITES, J. W. & J. C. MARSHALL (2003): Delimiting species: a Renaissance issue in systematic biology. – Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 18: 462–470
- SPEYBROECK, J., W. BEUKEMA, C. DUFRESNES, U. FRITZ, D. JA-BLONSKI, P. LYMBERAKIS, I. MARTÍNEZ-SOLANO, E. RAZZETTI, M. VAMBERGER, M. VENCES, J. VÖRÖS & P.-A. CROCHET (2020): Species list of the European herpetofauna – 2020 update by the taxonomic committee of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica. – Amphibia-Reptilia, 41: 139–189.
- STEINFARTZ, S., M. VEITH & D. TAUTZ (2000): Mitochondrial sequence analysis of *Salamandra* taxa suggests old splits of major lineages and postglacial recolonizations of Central Europe from distinct source populations of *Salamandra salamandra*. Molecular Ecology, 9: 397–410.
- ŠUNJE, E., A. ZUAZU BERMEJO, R. VAN DAMME, T. BACKELJAU, N. POJSKIĆ, L. LUKIĆ BILELA & B. KALAMUJIĆ STROIL (2021): Genetic diversity and differentiation of alpine salamanders from the Dinarides – an evolutionary perspective with insights for species conservation. – Salamandra, 57: 75–88.
- THANOU, E., V. KYPRAIOS-SKREKAS, P. KORNILIOS & S. GIOKAS (2021): Ecomorphological divergence and lack of gene flow in two sympatric Balkan slow worms (Squamata: Anguidae). – Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, **134**: 443–460.
- THANOU, E., D. JABLONSKI & P. KORNILIOS (2023): Genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms reveal recurrent waves of speciation in niche-pockets, in Europe's most venomous snake. – Molecular Ecology, 32: 3624–3640.
- THIESMEIER, B. (2004): Der Feuersalamander. Laurenti Verlag, Bielefeld.
- THIESMEIER, B. & K. GROSSENBACHER (2004): Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas, Band 4/IIB Schwanzlurche (Urodela). – AULA-Verlag, Wiebelsheim.
- UNMACK, P. J., M. ADAMS, M. P. HAMMER, J. B. JOHNSON, B. GRU-BER, A. GILLES, M. YOUNG & A. GEORGES (2022): Plotting for change: an analytical framework to aid decisions on which lineages are candidate species in phylogenomic species discovery. – Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 135: 117– 137.

- VEITH, M. (1992): The fire salamander, *Salamandra salamandra* L., in Central Europe: subspecies distribution and intergradation. – Amphibia-Reptilia, **13**: 297–313.
- VELO-ANTÓN, G., X. SANTOS, I. SANMARTÍN-VILLAR, A. CORDE-RO-RIVERA & D. BUCKLEY (2015): Intraspecific variation in clutch size and maternal investment in pueriparous and larviparous Salamandra salamandra females. – Evolutionary Ecology, 29: 185–204.
- VELO-ANTÓN, G., A. LOURENÇO, P. GALÁN, A. NICIEZA & P. TAR-ROSO (2021): Landscape resistance constrains hybridization across contact zones in a reproductively and morphologically polymorphic salamander. – Scientific Reports, 11: 9259.
- VENCES, M., E. SANCHEZ, J. S. HAUSWALDT, D. EIKELMANN, A. RODRÍGUEZ, S. CARRANZA, D. DONAIRE, M. GEHARA, V. HELFER, S. LÖTTERS, P. WERNER, S. SCHULZ & S. STEINFARTZ (2014): Nuclear and mitochondrial multilocus phylogeny and survey of alkaloid content in true salamanders of the genus *Salamandra* (Salamandridae). – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 73: 208–216.
- VENCES, M., A. MIRALLES & C. DUFRESNES (2024): Next-generation species delimitation and taxonomy: Implications for biogeography. – Journal of Biogeography.
- WEISROCK, D. W., T. J. PAPENFUSS, J. R. MACEY, S. N. LITVIN-CHUK, R. POLYMENI, I. H. UGURTAS, E. ZHAO, H. JOWKAR & A. LARSON (2006): A molecular assessment of phylogenetic relationships and lineage accumulation rates within the family Salamandridae (Amphibia, Caudata). – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **41**: 368–383.
- WEITERE, M., D. TAUTZ, D. NEUMANN & S. STEINFARTZ (2004): Adaptive divergence vs. environmental plasticity: tracing local genetic adaptation of metamorphosis traits in salamanders. – Molecular Ecology, 13: 1665–1677.
- WILSON, E. O. & W. L. BROWN JR. (1953): The subspecies concept and its taxonomic application. – Systematic Biology, 2: 97–111.
- YU, G., D. K. SMITH, H. ZHU, Y. GUAN & T. T.-Y. LAM (2017): ggtree: an r package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. – Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 8: 28–36.
- ZIELIŃSKI, P., K. DUDEK, J. W. ARNTZEN, G. PALOMAR, M. NIED-ZICKA, A. FIJARCZYK, M. LIANA, D. COGĂLNICEANU & W. BA-BIK (2019): Differential introgression across newt hybrid zones: evidence from replicated transects. – Molecular Ecology, 28: 4811–4824.
- ZINENKO, O., M. SOVIC, U. JOGER & H. L. GIBBS (2016): Hybrid origin of European vipers (*Vipera magnifica* and *Vipera orlovi*) from the Caucasus determined using genomic scale DNA markers. – BMC Evolutionary Biology, **16**: 76.

Supplementary data

The following data are available online:

Supplementary Table S1. Sampling information for all individuals used in this study.

Supplementary Table S2. Composition and filtering option in STACKS for generating the alignments for the two different datasets.

Supplementary Table S3. Composition of different datasets/subsets and their STACKS filtering option. Supplementary Figure S1. Maximum-likelihood tree of all sampled individuals of the genus *Salamandra*, with *Lyciasalamandra* used as outgroup.

Supplementary Figure S2. Map showing localities of selected nonadmixed samples in the reduced dataset.

Supplementary Table S1. Sampling information for all individuals used in this study. A priori subspecies assignment is based on previous studies, geographic provenance, and phenotype (especially coloration). A posteriori subspecies assignments are based on the results of the clustering and phylogenetic analyses (Figs 1/S1). A sample is considered as hybrid when its ancestry coefficient is mixed (Q < 0.9) with another cluster of close geographic proximity. Country abbreviations: BA – Bosnia and Herzegovina, BG – Bulgaria, CH – Switzerland, DE – Germany, DZ – Algeria, ES – Spain, FR – France, GR – Greece, HU – Hungary, HR – Croatia, IL – Israel, IQ – Iraq, IR – Iran, IT – Italy, LB – Lebanon, MA – Morocco, PL – Poland, PT – Portugal, SI – Slovenia, SK – Slovakia, SY – Syria, TR – Turkey, UA – Ukraine. A priori sa – a priori subspecies assignment, A post sa – a posterior subspecies assignment, Incl – included in reduced dataset, Co – country.

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Со	Source
S00711outb	SALTUBS711	L. billae					Kale Tepe	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00712outf	SALTUBS712	L. flavimembris					Cicekli Koeyue	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00680alal	SALTUBS680	S. algira	algira	algira	algira	yes	Zekri	DZ	New
S00684alal	SALTUBS684	S. algira	algira	algira	algira		Ain Berber	DZ	New
S00700alal	SALTUBS700	S. algira	algira	algira	algira	yes	Chrea	DZ	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00703alal	SALTUBS703	S. algira	algira	algira	algira		Feraoun	DZ	New
S00695alal	SALTUBS695	S. algira	algira	algira	algira		Larba Nait Irathen	DZ	New
S00681alal	SALTUBS681	S. algira	algira	algira	algira		Seraidi stream	DZ	New
S00682alal	SALTUBS682	S. algira	algira	algira	algira	yes	Seraidi stream	DZ	New
S00698alal	SALTUBS698	S. algira	algira	algira	algira		Tifiras	DZ	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00694alal	SALTUBS694	S. algira	algira	algira	algira		Tifra	DZ	New
S00696alal	SALTUBS696	S. algira	algira	algira	algira		Tifra	DZ	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00803alal	SALTUBS803	S. algira	atlantica	atlantica	atlantica	yes	Jebel Tazekka	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00787alal	SALTUBS787	S. algira	atlantica	atlantica	atlantica		Jebel Tazekka	MA	New
S00676alal	SALTUBS676	S. algira	atlantica	atlantica	atlantica	yes	Jebel Tazekka	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00677alal	SALTUBS677	S. algira	atlantica	atlantica	atlantica		Jebel Tazekka	MA	New
S00800alal	SALTUBS800	S. algira	atlantica	atlantica	atlantica	yes	Jebel Tazekka	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01535alsa	SALTUBS1535	S. algira	spelaea	spelaea	spelaea	yes	Berkane	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01537alsa	SALTUBS1537	S. algira	spelaea	spelaea	spelaea	yes	Berkane	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01499alsa	SALTUBS1499	S. algira	spelaea	spelaea	spelaea		Berkane	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00967alsd	SALTUBS967	S. algira	splendens	splendens	splendens		Chefchaouen	MA	New
S01359alsd	SALTUBS1359	S. algira	splendens	splendens	splendens		Chefchaouen	MA	New
S00971alsd	SALTUBS971	S. algira	splendens	splendens	splendens		Chefchaouen	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00907alsd	SALTUBS907	S. algira	splendens	splendens	splendens	yes	Cudia Sbaa	MA	New
S00815alsd	SALTUBS815	S. algira	splendens	splendens	splendens		Dchar Tyghassayane	MA	New
S00903alsd	SALTUBS903	S. algira	splendens	splendens	splendens	yes	Targuist	MA	Burgon et al. (2021)
S01000alsd	SALTUBS1000	S. algira	splendens	splendens	splendens	yes	Ketama	MA	New
S00979alsd	SALTUBS979	S. algira	splendens	splendens	splendens × tingitana		Chefchaouen	MA	New
S01547alsd	SALTUBS1547	S. algira	splendens	splendens	splendens × tingitana		Chefchaouen	MA	New
S00965alti	SALTUBS965	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana	yes	Al Oued	MA	New
S00957alti	SALTUBS957	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana		Bouhachem	MA	New
S01007alti	SALTUBS1007	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana		Alimadene	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00006alti	SALTUBS006	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana		Ceuta	MA	New
S00881alti	SALTUBS881	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana		Ain Lahcen	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Co	Source
S00861alti	SALTUBS861	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana	yes	Bni Arouss	MA	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01025alti	SALTUBS1025	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana		Masmuda, Morocco	MA	New
S01275alti	SALTUBS1275	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana		Molay Abdslam	MA	New
S01201alti	SALTUBS1201	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana		Molay Abdslam	MA	New
S01205alti	SALTUBS1205	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana		Molay Abdslam	MA	New
S01181alti	SALTUBS1181	S. algira	tinoitana	tinoitana	tingitana		Tangier	MA	New
S01183alti	SALTUBS1183	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana		Tangier	MA	New
S00865alti	SALTUBS865	S. algira	tinoitana	tinoitana	tingitana	ves	Zemmii	MA	New
S00883alti	SALTUBS883	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana × splendens	/	Yebel Sougna, Mo-	MA	New
S00882alti	SALTUBS882	S. algira	tingitana	tingitana	tingitana × splendens		Yebel Sougna	MA	New
S00747atat	SALTUBS747	S. atra	atra	atra	atra (North)	yes	Near Lucerne	СН	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00748atat	SALTUBS748	S. atra	atra	atra	atra (North)	yes	Near Lucerne	СН	BURGON et al. (2021)
M30649atat	MVTIS30649	S. atra	atra	new candidate ssp.	atra (South)	yes	Valle del Bitto di Albaredo	IT	New
r30650atat	MVTIS30650	S. atra	atra	new candidate ssp.	atra (South)	yes	Valle del Bitto di Albaredo	IT	New
M30653atat	MVTIS30653	S. atra	aurorae	aurorae	aurorae	yes	Altopiano dei Sette Comuni: Stretta della Pruca	IT	New
M30646atau	MVTIS30646	S. atra	aurorae	aurorae	aurorae	yes	Altopiano dei Sette Comuni: Bosco del Dosso	IT	New
M30647atau	MVTIS30647	S. atra	aurorae	aurorae	aurorae	yes	Altopiano dei Sette Comuni: Bosco del Dosso	IT	New
S00329atpb	SALTUBS329	S. atra	pasubiensis	pasubiensis	pasubiensis	yes	Monte Pasubio	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00330atpb	SALTUBS330	S. atra	pasubiensis	pasubiensis	pasubiensis	yes	Monte Pasubio	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00332atpb	SALTUBS332	S. atra	pasubiensis	pasubiensis	pasubiensis	yes	Monte Pasubio	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
M24379atpj	MVTIS24379	S. atra	prenjensis	prenjensis	prenjensis	yes	Prenj	BA	New
M24376atpj	MVTIS24376	S. atra	prenjensis	prenjensis	prenjensis	yes	Prenj	BA	New
M24377atpj	MVTIS24377	S. atra	prenjensis	prenjensis	prenjensis	yes	Prenj	BA	New
M24378atpj	MVTIS24378	S. atra	prenjensis	prenjensis	prenjensis		Prenj	BA	New
B00415cors	ELT00415	S. corsica				yes	Col de Bavella	FR	Burgon et al. (2021)
M06259cors	MVTIS6259	S. corsica				yes	Col de Sevi	FR	New
B02678cors	ELT02678	S. corsica					Corsica	FR	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00032cors	SALTUBS032	S. corsica				yes	Corsica	FR	Burgon et al. (2021)
M16549inin	MVTIS16549	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	a infraimmaculata	n infraimmaculata (North)	yes	Al-Qadmus	SY	New
S00328inin	SALTUBS328	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	a infraimmaculata	n infraimmaculata (North)		NA	SY	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00324inin	SALTUBS324	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	a infraimmaculata	n <i>infraimmaculata</i> (North)	yes	Roman well source, Syria	SY	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00326inin	SALTUBS326	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	a infraimmaculata	n infraimmaculata (North)		Roman well source, Syria	SY	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00755inin	SALTUBS755	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	a infraimmaculata	<i>infraimmaculata</i> (South)		El Kamon	IL	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00756inin	SALTUBS756	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	a infraimmaculata	, <i>infraimmaculata</i> (South)		Harashim	IL	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00754inin	SALTUBS754	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	a infraimmaculata	<i>infraimmaculata</i> (South)		Karreman	IL	Burgon et al. (2021)

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Co	Source
S00753inin	SALTUBS753	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculata	ı infraimmaculata	infraimmaculata (South)	yes	Secher	IL	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00762inin	SALTUBS762	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	a infraimmaculata	<i>infraimmaculata</i> (South)		Tel Dan	IL	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00758inin	SALTUBS758	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculata	a infraimmaculata	<i>infraimmaculata</i> (South)		Tel Dan	IL	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00759inin	SALTUBS759	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	ı infraimmaculata	infraimmaculata (South)		Tel Dan	IL	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00761inin	SALTUBS761	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	ı infraimmaculata	infraimmaculata (South)	yes	Tel Dan	IL	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00028inin	SALTUBS028	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	ı infraimmaculata	infraimmaculata (South)	yes	Bater	LB	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00030inin	SALTUBS030	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	ı infraimmaculata	infraimmaculata (South)		Bater	LB	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00308inin	SALTUBS308	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	ı infraimmaculata	infraimmaculata (South)		NA	LB	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00306inin	SALTUBS306	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	ı infraimmaculata	, <i>infraimmaculata</i> (South)		NA	LB	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00310inin	SALTUBS310	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	ı infraimmaculata	<i>infraimmaculata</i> (South)		NA	LB	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00288inin	SALTUBS288	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (Central)	yes	Aslantepe	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00292inin	SALTUBS292	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (Central)	yes	Eskikoey	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00304inin	SALTUBS304	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (Central)		Fevzipasa	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00302inin	SALTUBS302	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (Central)	yes	Fevzipasa	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
r00274inin	SALTUBS274	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (North)		Ilic	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00276inin	SALTUBS276	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (North)	yes	Kahramanmaras	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00284inin	SALTUBS284	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (North)	yes	Kemaliye	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
M16547inse	MVTIS16547	S. infraimmacu- lata	semenovi	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (North)		Balkha	IQ	New
M16544inse	MVTIS16544	S. infraimmacu- lata	semenovi	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (North)		Balkha	IQ	New
M16545inse	MVTIS16545	S. infraimmacu- lata	semenovi	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (North)	yes	Sarvabad	IR	New
M16555inse	MVTIS16555	S. infraimmacu- lata	semenovi	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (North)		Sarvabad	IR	New
M16554inse	MVTIS16554	S. infraimmacu- lata	semenovi	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (North)		Sarvabad	IR	New
M16540inse	MVTIS16540	S. infraimmacu- lata	semenovi	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (North)		Kemaliye	TR	New
S00322inin	SALTUBS322	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (South)	yes	NA	SY	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00264inin	SALTUBS264	S. infraimmacu- lata	infraimmaculate	semenovi/ orientalis	semenovi (South)	yes	Harbiye	TR	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00244lanz	SALTUBS244	S. lanzai				yes	Valle Po	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
B03090saas	ELT03090	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East)		Color River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
B03126saas	ELT03126	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East)		Color River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
B03076saas	ELT03076	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Color River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
B03459saas	ELT03459	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa	yes	Color River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
B03078saas	ELT03078	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Color River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Со	Source
B03366saas	ELT03366	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Color River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
B02806saas	ELT02806	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Tendi River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
B02828saas	ELT02828	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Tendi River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
B03250saas	ELT03250	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Tendi River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
B03268saas	ELT03268	S. salamandra	"alfredschmidti"	"alfredschmidti" syn. bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Tendi River Valley	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00020saml	SALTUBS020	S. salamandra	gallaica	"molleri"	<i>"molleri"</i> (North) × <i>"molleri"</i> (South)	yes	Serra da Estrela	РТ	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00022saml	SALTUBS022	S. salamandra	gallaica	"molleri"	<i>"molleri"</i> (North) × <i>"molleri"</i> (South)	yes	Serra da Estrela	РТ	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00018saml	SALTUBS018	S. salamandra	gallaica	"molleri"	"molleri" (South)	yes	Coimbra	PT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00125saml	SALTUBS125	S. salamandra	gallaica	"molleri"	"molleri" (South)		Serra de Grandola	РТ	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00123saml	SALTUBS123	S. salamandra	gallaica	"molleri"	"molleri" (South)		Serra de Grandola	PT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00226saml	SALTUBS226	S. salamandra	gallaica	"molleri"	"molleri" (South)	yes	Serra da Arrabida	PT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00240saml	SALTUBS240	S. salamandra	gallaica	"molleri"	<i>"molleri"</i> (South)	yes	Serra da Arrabida	РТ	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00050saml	SALTUBS050	S. salamandra	gallaica	"molleri"	<i>"molleri"</i> (South) × <i>"molleri"</i> (North)		Sintra	РТ	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00087saam	SALTUBS087	S. salamandra	almanzoris	almanzoris	almanzoris	yes	Laguna Grande de Gredos	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00089saam	SALTUBS089	S. salamandra	almanzoris	almanzoris	almanzoris		Laguna Grande de Gredos	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
M22613saxx	MVTIS22613	S. salamandra	NA	almanzoris	almanzoris		Circo de las Cer- radillas	ES	New
M22614saxx	MVTIS22614	S. salamandra	NA	almanzoris	almanzoris	yes	Circo de las Cer- radillas	ES	New
M22615saxx	MVTIS22615	S. salamandra	NA	almanzoris	almanzoris		Circo de las Cer- radillas	ES	New
M22608saxx	MVTIS22608	S. salamandra	NA	almanzoris	almanzoris		El Real de San Vicente	ES	New
M22609saxx	MVTIS22609	S. salamandra	NA	almanzoris	almanzoris	yes	Navamorcuende	ES	New
M22604saxx	MVTIS22604	S. salamandra	NA	bejarae	<i>bejarae</i> (East)		Quintos de Mora	ES	New
M22606saxx	MVTIS22606	S. salamandra	NA	bejarae	<i>bejarae</i> (East)	yes	Quintos de Mora	ES	New
M22605saxx	MVTIS22605	S. salamandra	NA	bejarae	<i>bejarae</i> (East)	yes	Quintos de Mora	ES	New
M22610saxx	MVTIS22610	S. salamandra	NA	bejarae	bejarae (East) × "molleri" (South)		Guadalupe	ES	New
M22611saxx	MVTIS22611	S. salamandra	NA	bejarae	bejarae (East) × "molleri" (South)		Guadalupe	ES	New
M22612saxx	MVTIS22612	S. salamandra	NA	bejarae	bejarae (East) × "molleri" (South)		Guadalupe	ES	New
S00024sabj	SALTUBS024	S. salamandra	bejarae	bejarae	bejarae (West) × bejar- ae (East)	yes	Lagunilla	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00026sabj	SALTUBS026	S. salamandra	bejarae	bejarae	bejarae (West)	yes	Lagunilla	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00075sabz	SALTUBS075	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	<i>bernardezi</i> (East)		Oviedo	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00077sabz	SALTUBS077	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	<i>bernardezi</i> (East)		Oviedo	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
B02726sabz	ELT02726	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (East)		Parque Natural de Ponga	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00219sabz	SALTUBS219	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa	yes	Calabrez	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Co	Source
S00232sabz	SALTUBS232	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Lago de Ercina	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00042sabz	SALTUBS042	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa	yes	Covadonga	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00040sabz	SALTUBS040	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Covadonga	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
M23858sabz	MVTIS23858	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × gallaica		Somiedo	ES	New
M23859sabz	MVTIS23859	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × gallaica		Somiedo	ES	New
M23857sabz	MVTIS23857	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (East) × gallaica		Somiedo	ES	New
M30567sabz	MVTIS30567	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)		Serra Toxiza	ES	New
M30611sabz	MVTIS30611	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)		Serra do Xistral	ES	New
M30570sabz	MVTIS30570	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)		Serra Toxiza	ES	New
M30568sabz	MVTIS30568	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)		Serra Toxiza	ES	New
M30563sabz	MVTIS30563	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)		Serra Toxiza	ES	New
M30548sabz	MVTIS30548	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)		Serra do Xistral	ES	New
M30543sabz	MVTIS30543	S salamandra	hernardezi	hernardezi	hernardezi (West)		Serra do Xistral	ES	New
M30569sabz	MVTIS30569	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)	VAC	Serra Toxiza	ES	New
M2056Cert	MUTIC20566	S. salamanara	bernuruezi	bernuruezi	hermaniaezi (West)	yes		ES	New
M30566sabz	MV 11830566	S. salamanara	bernaraezi	bernaraezi	bernaraezi (west)		Serra Ioxiza	ES	New
M30544sabz	MV11830544	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)		Serra do Xistral	ES	New
M30564sabz	MVTIS30564	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)		Serra Toxiza	ES	New
M30549sabz	MVTIS30549	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)	yes	Serra do Xistral	ES	New
M30565sabz	MVTIS30565	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)		Serra Toxiza	ES	New
M30546sabz	MVTIS30546	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West)	yes	Serra do Xistral	ES	New
M30577saga	MVTIS30577	S. salamandra	gallaica	bernardezi	<i>bernardezi</i> (West)		A Pastoriza	ES	New
M30605sabz	MVTIS30605	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		As Somozas	ES	New
M30604sabz	MVTIS30604	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		As Enchousas	ES	New
r30596sabz	MVTIS30596	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		As Somozas	ES	New
M30583sabz	MVTIS30583	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		Monte Caxado	ES	New
M30580sabz	MVTIS30580	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		Monte Caxado	ES	New
r30582sabz	MVTIS30582	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		Monte Caxado	ES	New
M30581sabz	MVTIS30581	S. salamandra	bernardezi	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		Monte Caxado	ES	New
M30600sahy	MVTIS30600	S. salamandra	NA	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		Recemel	ES	New
M30599sahy	MVTIS30599	S. salamandra	NA	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		As Somozas	ES	New
M30602sahy	MVTIS30602	S. salamandra	NA	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		As Somozas	ES	New
M30601sahy	MVTIS30601	S. salamandra	NA	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		As Somozas	ES	New
M30603sahy	MVTIS30603	S. salamandra	NA	bernardezi	bernardezi (West) × gallaica		As Somozas	ES	New
S00071sabs	SALTUBS071	S. salamandra	beschkovi	beschkovi	beschkovi	yes	Pirin	BG	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00073sabs	SALTUBS073	S. salamandra	beschkovi	beschkovi	beschkovi	yes	Pirin	BG	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00054sacp	SALTUBS054	S. salamandra	crespoi	crespoi	crespoi	yes	Serra de Monchique	PT	Burgon et al. (2021)
M23863safa	MVTIS23863	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa		Rio Subibeltz	ES	New
M23862safa	MVTIS23862	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa	yes	Rio Subibeltz	ES	New

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Со	Source
M30612safa	MVTIS30612	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa		Anayet	ES	New
S00737safa	SALTUBS737	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa	yes	res Respomuso I		Burgon et al. (2021)
M23287safa	MVTIS23287	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa		Larrau	FR	New
M23297safa	MVTIS23297	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa		Larrau	FR	New
M23299safa	MVTIS23299	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa		Larrau	FR	New
M23300safa	MVTIS23300	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa		Lecumberry	FR	New
M23290safa	MVTIS23290	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa		Lecumberry	FR	New
M30623saxx	MVTIS30623	S. salamandra	NA	fastuosa	fastuosa		Serra do Xistral	ES	New
M30631saxx	MVTIS30631	S. salamandra	NA	fastuosa	fastuosa	yes	Barranco de Acherito	ES	New
M30630saxx	MVTIS30630	S. salamandra	NA	fastuosa	fastuosa		Barranco de Acherito	ES	New
M30628saxx	MVTIS30628	S. salamandra	NA	fastuosa	fastuosa		Barranco de Acherito	ES	New
M30629saxx	MVTIS30629	S. salamandra	NA	fastuosa	fastuosa		Barranco de Acherito	ES	New
S00260safa	SALTUBS260	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa × bernardezi (East)		Bilbao	ES	Burgon et
S00046safa	SALTUBS046	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	<i>fastuosa</i> × <i>bernardezi</i> (East)		Gorbeia	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00044safa	SALTUBS044	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa × bernardezi (East)		Gorbeia	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00253safa	SALTUBS253	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa × bernardezi (East)		Markina-Xemein	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
M05359saxx	MVTIS5359	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa × terrestris		Benasque	ES	New
M05095saxx	MVTIS5095	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa × terrestris		Val dAran	ES	New
M05094saxx	MVTIS5094	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	$fastuosa \times terrestris$		Val dAran	ES	New
S00246safa	SALTUBS246	S. salamandra	fastuosa	fastuosa	fastuosa × terrestris		Bagneres de Bigorre	FR	Burgon et al. (2021)
M30634saxx	MVTIS30634	S. salamandra	NA	fastuosa	fastuosa × terrestris		Benasque-Gorgas del Alba	ES	New
M30633saxx	MVTIS30633	S. salamandra	NA	fastuosa	fastuosa \times terrestris		Gorgas del Alba	ES	New
M30635saxx	MVTIS30635	S. salamandra	NA	fastuosa	fastuosa × terrestris		Benasque-Gorgas del Alba	ES	New
M30636saxx	MVTIS30636	S. salamandra	NA	fastuosa	fastuosa × terrestris		Gorgas del Alba	ES	New
M30547sabz	MVTIS30547	S salamandra	hernardezi	oallaica	vallaica		Serra do Xistral	ES	New
M30558saga	MVTIS30558	S salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M30585saga	MVTIS30585	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Ribadeume	FS	New
M30586saga	MVTIS30586	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Pibadeume	ES	Now
M30598saga	MVTIS20508	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Siarra da Eorgosalo	ES	Now
M3059400ga	MUTIS20594	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Dibadauma	ES	Now
M30609saga	MVTIS30609	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		As Pontes de García	ES	New
M20545	MATTICODE 45	C					Rodriguez	TC.	N
M30545saga	MV11530545	S. salamanara	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Serra do Aistrai	ES	New
M30542saga	MV11830542	S. salamanara	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Xermade	ES	New
M30587saga	MVT1S30587	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Vilavella	ES	New
M30552saga	MVT1S30552	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M30553saga	MVTIS30553	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M30554saga	MVTIS30554	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M23847saga	MVTIS23847	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Bueu Coiro	ES	New
M30555saga	MVTIS30555	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M30578saga	MVTIS30578	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		A Pastoriza	ES	New
M30551saga	MVTIS30551	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M30575saga	MVTIS30575	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		A Pastoriza	ES	New
M30576saga	MVTIS30576	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica	yes	A Pastoriza	ES	New
M30579saga	MVTIS30579	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		A Pastoriza	ES	New
M23848saga	MVTIS23848	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica	yes	Bueu Coiro	ES	New
M30572saga	MVTIS30572	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		A Pastoriza	ES	New
M30571saga	MVTIS30571	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		A Pastoriza	ES	New

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Со	Source
S00369saga	SALTUBS369	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Eume	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00608saga	SALTUBS608	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica	yes	Serra do Courel	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00605saga	SALTUBS605	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica		Serra do Courel	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
M23855saga	MVTIS23855	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica × "molleri" (North)		Mindelo	РТ	New
M23856saga	MVTIS23856	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica × "molleri" (North)		Mindelo	РТ	New
M23860sahy	MVTIS23860	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	<i>gallaica × bernardezi</i> (East)		Orallo	ES	New
S00091sabj	SALTUBS091	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Embalse del Ebro	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
M23853sahy	MVTIS23853	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Sedano	ES	New
M23852sahy	MVTIS23852	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (East) × fastuosa		Sedano	ES	New
M30574sabz	MVTIS30574	S. salamandra	bernardezi	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (West)		Serra Toxiza	ES	New
M30573sabz	MVTIS30573	S. salamandra	bernardezi	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (West)		Serra Toxiza	ES	New
M30561sabz	MVTIS30561	S. salamandra	bernardezi	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (West)		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M30562sabz	MVTIS30562	S. salamandra	bernardezi	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (West)		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M30588saga	MVTIS30588	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (West)		As Pontes de García Rodríguez	ES	New
M30589saga	MVTIS30589	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (West)		As Pontes de García Rodríguez	ES	New
M30557saga	MVTIS30557	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (West)		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
S00367saga	SALTUBS367	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica imes bernardezi (West)		Eume	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
M30608sahy	MVTIS30608	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	gallaica imes bernardezi (West)		Espinaredo	ES	New
M30607sahy	MVTIS30607	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	gallaica imes bernardezi (West)		Espinaredo	ES	New
M30606sahy	MVTIS30606	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	gallaica imes bernardezi (West)		Espinaredo	ES	New
M30560sahy	MVTIS30560	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (West)		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M30610sahy	MVTIS30610	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	gallaica imes bernardezi (West)		Igrexafeita	ES	New
M30559sahy	MVTIS30559	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	gallaica × bernardezi (West)		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
M30556sahy	MVTIS30556	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	<i>gallaica</i> × <i>bernardezi</i> (West)		Serra de Monseiban	ES	New
S00093sabj	SALTUBS093	S. salamandra	gallaica	gallaica	gallaica × fastuosa × bernardezi (East)		Embalse del Ebro	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00258sago	SALTUBS258	S. salamandra	gigliolii	gigliolii	gigliolii		Carrara	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00256sago	SALTUBS256	S. salamandra	gigliolii	gigliolii	gigliolii	yes	La Spezia	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00115sago	SALTUBS115	S. salamandra	gigliolii	gigliolii	gigliolii	yes	Serra San Bruno	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00117sago	SALTUBS117	S. salamandra	gigliolii	gigliolii	gigliolii	yes	Serra San Bruno	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00224sago	SALTUBS224	S. salamandra	gigliolii	gigliolii	gigliolii		Valdurasca	IT	Burgon et
M23854salo	MVTIS23854	S. salamandra	longirostris	longirostris	longirostris	yes	Canillas	ES	New

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Со	Source
S01633salo	SALTUBS1633	S. salamandra	longirostris	longirostris	longirostris		M.P. de Jerez	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S01675salo	SALTUBS1675	S. salamandra	longirostris	longirostris	longirostris		Picacho	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S01679salo	SALTUBS1679	S. salamandra	longirostris	longirostris	longirostris	yes	Picacho	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S01685salo	SALTUBS1685	S. salamandra	longirostris	longirostris	longirostris		Picacho	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S01687salo	SALTUBS1687	S. salamandra	longirostris	longirostris	longirostris		Picacho	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00236salo	SALTUBS236	S. salamandra	longirostris	longirostris	longirostris		Sierra de Grazalema	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S01681salo	SALTUBS1681	S. salamandra	longirostris	longirostris	longirostris	yes	Sierra de Montecoche	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S01683salo	SALTUBS1683	S. salamandra	longirostris	longirostris	longirostris		Sierra de Montecoche	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S01597samn	SALTUBS1597	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica		Fuenfria	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S01607samn	SALTUBS1607	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica	yes	Fuenteheridos	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01629samn	SALTUBS1629	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica		Fuenteheridos	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01601samn	SALTUBS1601	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica		Las Chinas	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01595samn	SALTUBS1595	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica		Riopar	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01571samn	SALTUBS1571	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica	yes	Sierra de Cazorla	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00048samn	SALTUBS048	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica		Sierra Morena	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01621samn	SALTUBS1621	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica		Sta Ana Real	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
S01609samn	SALTUBS1609	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica		Valdearcos	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
B05451samn	ELT05451	S. salamandra	morenica	morenica	morenica	yes	Villaviciosa de Cor- doba	ES	BURGON et al. (2021)
X0160/sate	SALExSitu160/	S. salamanara	terrestris	salamanara	salamanara (North)		Heilbronn	DE	New
X01609sate	SALExSitu1609	9 S. salamandra	terrestris	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Heilbronn	DE	New
M30597sahy	MVT1S30597	S. salamandra	NA	gallaica	gallaica		Sierra de Forgoselo	ES	New
X02009saxx	SALExSitu2009) S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Tharandt	DE	New
X01903saxx	SALExSitu1903	3 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Lohmen	DE	New
X01931saxx	SALExSitu1931	l S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Ostritz	DE	New
X01932saxx	SALExSitu1932	2 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Ostritz	DE	New
X00257saxx	SALExSitu257	S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Gehlberg	DE	New
X00903saxx	SALExSitu903	S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Felkendorf	DE	New
X00904saxx	SALExSitu904	S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)	yes	Felkendorf	DE	New
X01777saxx	SALExSitu1777	7 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Waldeck	DE	New
X02004saxx	SALExSitu2004	4 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Tharandt	DE	New
X01778saxx	SALExSitu1778	3 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Waldeck	DE	New
X00258saxx	SALExSitu258	S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)	yes	Gehlberg	DE	New
X01904saxx	SALExSitu1904	4 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North)	yes	Lohmen	DE	New
S00217sasa	SALTUBS217	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Buek	HU	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00668sasa	SALTUBS668	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Odenwald	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
r00657sasa	SALTUBS657	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Burgk	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00248sasa	SALTUBS248	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (North)		Milda	DE	BURGON et al. (2021)

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Co	Source
S00103sasa	SALTUBS103	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra			Synewyr	UA	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00109sasa	SALTUBS109	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	$salamandra$ (North) \times salamandra (South)		High Tatra	SK	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00353sasa	SALTUBS353	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times salamandra (South)		Tlumaczow	PL	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00107sasa	SALTUBS107	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times salamandra (South)		High Tatra	SK	Burgon et al. (2021)
r00354sasa	SALTUBS354	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times salamandra (South)		Tlumaczow	PL	Burgon et al. (2021)
X01313saxx	SALExSitu1313	3 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) × terrestris		Questenberg	DE	New
X01394saxx	SALExSitu1394	4 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Ilsetal	DE	New
X01444saxx	SALExSitu1444	4 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Stecklenberg	DE	New
X01445saxx	SALExSitu144	5 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Stecklenberg	DE	New
X01386saxx	SALExSitu1380	6 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Ilsetal	DE	New
X01310saxx	SALExSitu1310	0 S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Questenberg	DE	New
X00205saxx	SALExSitu205	S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Wintzingerode	DE	New
X00215saxx	SALExSitu215	S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Wintzingerode	DE	New
X00238saxx	SALExSitu238	S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Asbach-Sickenberg	DE	New
X00240saxx	SALExSitu240	S. salamandra	NA	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Asbach-Sickenberg	DE	New
S00099sasa	SALTUBS099	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Stuttgart	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00750sate	SALTUBS750	S. salamandra	terrestris	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Wolfsburg	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00418sate	SALTUBS418	S. salamandra	terrestris	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Detmold	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00752sate	SALTUBS752	S. salamandra	terrestris	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Elm	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00060sate	SALTUBS060	S. salamandra	terrestris	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Solling	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00751sate	SALTUBS751	S. salamandra	terrestris	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Elm	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00637sate	SALTUBS637	S. salamandra	terrestris	salamandra	salamandra (North) \times terrestris		Solling	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00105sasa	SALTUBS105	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Synewyr	UA	Burgon et al. (2021)
X00949sasa	SALExSitu949	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Rimbach	DE	New
S00095sasa	SALTUBS095	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Lago di Garda	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00566sasa	SALTUBS566	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Bayerischer Wald	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00058sasa	SALTUBS058	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)	yes	Lago di Lugano	СН	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00056sasa	SALTUBS056	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Lago di Lugano	СН	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00633sasa	SALTUBS633	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Ilz	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00632sasa	SALTUBS632	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Neukirchen vorm Wald	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X01512sasa	SALExSitu1512	2 S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)	yes	Tegernsee	DE	New

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Со	Source
S00658sasa	SALTUBS658	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Burgk	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00664sasa	SALTUBS664	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Odenwald	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00579sasa	SALTUBS579	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Spessart	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00562sasa	SALTUBS562	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Bayerischer Wald	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00004sasa	SALTUBS004	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Nasenbach	DE	BURGON et al. (2021)
X01511sasa	SALExSitu151	1 S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Tegernsee	DE	New
S00002sasa	SALTUBS002	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South)		Nasenbach	DE	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00063sasa	SALTUBS063	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South) × salamandra (North)	yes	Makarska	HR	BURGON et al. (2021)
M24370saxx	MVTIS24370	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South) × salamandra (North)		Ugorsko	BA	New
X00950sasa	SALExSitu950	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South) × salamandra (North)		Rimbach	DE	New
S00113sasa	SALTUBS113	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South) \times terrestris		Loiblpass	SI	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00111sasa	SALTUBS111	S. salamandra	salamandra	salamandra	salamandra (South) \times terrestris		Loiblpass	SI	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00184sate	SALTUBS184	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Kottenforst	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00199sate	SALTUBS199	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Kottenforst	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00740sate	SALTUBS740	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Ellhausen	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00744sate	SALTUBS744	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Königsdorf	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00169sate	SALTUBS169	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Kottenforst	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00139sate	SALTUBS139	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Kottenforst	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00743sate	SALTUBS743	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Königsdorf	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X01636sate	SALExSitu1636	5 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Offenburg	DE	New
S00148sate	SALTUBS148	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Blankenheim	DE	BURGON et al. (2021)
r00597sate	SALTUBS597	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Niederelfringhausen	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00739sate	SALTUBS739	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Ellhausen	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
r00145sate	SALTUBS145	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Blankenheim	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00160sate	SALTUBS160	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Blankenheim	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00599sate	SALTUBS599	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Felderbachtal	DE	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00151sate	SALTUBS151	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Blankenheim	DE	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00157sate	SALTUBS157	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Blankenheim	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X01687sate	SALExSitu1687	7 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Emmendingen	DE	New
AUI689Sate	SALEXSITU1689	o suamanara	lerrestris	ierrestris	ierresiris		Emmendingen	DE -	INEW BURGON et
r00473sate	SALTUBS473	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Kolvenbach	DE	al. (2021)
X01736sate X02108sate	SALExSitu1736 SALExSitu2108	5 S. salamandra 3 S. salamandra	terrestris terrestris	terrestris terrestris	terrestris terrestris		Loerrach Oberweiler	DE DE	New New

ID		0 1			T · /1 1 · 1	т 1	т 1ч	0	0
ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Co	Source
S00221sate	SALTUBS221	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Heiden	СН	BURGON et al. (2021)
r00154sate	SALTUBS154	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Blankenheim	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00477sate	SALTUBS477	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Kolvenbach	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X02113sate	SALExSitu211	3 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Oberweiler	DE	New
M23291sate	MVTIS23291	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Chantenay-Villedieu	FR	New
S00085sate	SALTUBS085	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris		Vannes	FR	Burgon et al. (2021)
X01737sate	SALExSitu173	7 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris	yes	Loerrach	DE	New
M23294sate	MVTIS23294	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris	yes	Chantenay-Villedieu	FR	New
M30664sate	MVTIS30664	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris	•	Chevry	FR	New
M23295sate	MVTIS23295	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris	ves	Mandagout	FR	New
S00420sate	SALTUBS420	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris × salamandra</i> (North)	1	Detmold, Germany	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X00617sate	SALExSitu617	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris</i> × <i>salamandra</i> (North)		Hepstedt	DE	New
S00749sate	SALTUBS749	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris</i> × <i>salamandra</i> (North)		Wolfsburg	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X02403sate	SALExSitu240	3 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Battenberg	DE	New
S00341sate	SALTUBS341	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Bielefeld	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00339sate	SALTUBS339	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris</i> × <i>salamandra</i> (North)		Bielefeld	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X02452sate	SALExSitu2452	2 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris × salamandra</i> (North)		Biebertal	DE	New
X00509sate	SALExSitu509	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris</i> × <i>salamandra</i> (North)		Sittensen	DE	New
X02451sate	SALExSitu245	1 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris</i> × <i>salamandra</i> (North)		Biebertal	DE	New
S00083sate	SALTUBS083	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Hanstedt	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X02404sate	SALExSitu2404	4 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Battenberg	DE	New
X00651sate	SALExSitu651	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Hepstedt	DE	New
M23298sate	MVTIS23298	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		La Bastide-Clairence	FR	New
S00593sate	SALTUBS593	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Münster	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X02034sate	SALExSitu2034	4 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Hasbruch	DE	New
S00591sate	SALTUBS591	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Münster	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X02033sate	SALExSitu203	3 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Hasbruch	DE	New
X02337sate	SALExSitu233	7 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Essen	DE	New
S00172sate	SALTUBS172	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Kottenforst	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00642sate	SALTUBS642	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Ahaus	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00175sate	SALTUBS175	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Kottenforst	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X02336sate	SALExSitu233	6 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Essen	DE	New
S00643sate	SALTUBS643	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris</i> × <i>salamandra</i> (North)		Ahaus	DE	BURGON et al. (2021)

ID	Other ID	Species	A priori sa	A post sa	Lineage/hybrid	Incl	Locality	Со	Source
S00672sate	SALTUBS672	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris</i> × <i>salamandra</i> (North)		Westerwald	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00673sate	SALTUBS673	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Westerwald	DE	Burgon et al. (2021)
X01639sate	SALExSitu1639	9 S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	terrestris × salamandra (North)		Offenburg	DE	New
S00234sate	SALTUBS234	S. salamandra	terrestris	terrestris	<i>terrestris</i> × <i>salamandra</i> (South)		Pezzo	IT	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00034sahi	SALTUBS034	S. salamandra	"hispanica"	terrestris/ "his- panica"	terrestris/"hispanica"	yes	Sierra del Montseny	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
S00036sahi	SALTUBS036	S. salamandra	"hispanica"	terrestris/ "his- panica"	terrestris/"hispanica"	yes	Sierra del Montseny	ES	Burgon et al. (2021)
M05415sahy	MVTIS5415	S. salamandra	NA	terrestris/ "his- panica"	terrestris/"hispanica"	yes	Alinya	ES	New
M05371sahy	MVTIS5371	S. salamandra	NA	terrestris/ "his- panica"	terrestris/"hispanica"		Les Fourquets	FR	New
M05390sahy	MVTIS5390	S. salamandra	NA	terrestris/ "his- panica"	terrestris/"hispanica"		Val du Tech	FR	New
S00230sawe	SALTUBS230	S. salamandra	werneri	werneri	werneri (North)	yes	Pelion	GR	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00119sawe	SALTUBS119	S. salamandra	werneri	new candidate ssp.	werneri (South)	yes	Sparta	GR	BURGON et al. (2021)
S00121sawe	SALTUBS121	S. salamandra	werneri	new candidate ssp.	werneri (South)	yes	Sparta	GR	Burgon et al. (2021)

Supplementary Table S2. Composition and filtering option in STACKS for generating the alignments for the two different datasets.

Dataset	Number of individuals	Minimum number of individuals where a RAD tag must be present to be included in the dataset (-p)	Number of retrieved RAD tags	Alignment length	Number of variant sites in alignment	Shown in
All individuals (full dataset)	392 (+ two outgroups)	370	2,730	356,874 bp	24,192	Figs 1/S1
Up to three representative, "pure" individuals (reduced dataset)	95 (+ two outgroups)	85	6,392	835,467 bp	51,557	Fig. 4

Supplementary Table S3. Composition of different datasets/subsets and their STACKS filtering option. To retrieve only one potentially unlinked SNP per RAD tag, the flag "--write-random-snp" was used.

Dataset	Number of individuals	Minimum number of individuals where a RAD tag must be present to be included in the dataset (-p)	Number of potentially unlinked SNPs	Best K	Shown in
Salamandra, all individuals (entire dataset)	392	370	2,490	11	Figs 1/S1/2
Subset: S. s. gallaica/bernardezi	69	69	1,877	2	Fig. 3 (A)
Subset: S. s. gallaica/"molleri"/bejarae	26	26	2,732	5	Fig. 3 (B)
Subset: S. s. fastuosa/"hispanica"/terrestris	30	30	2,786	2	Fig. 3 (C)
Subset: S. s. terrestris/salamandra	117	117	567	3	Fig. 3 (D)
Subset: Salamandra algira	42	42	1,463	4	Fig. 3 (E)



ĺξ





Continues on the next page





Supplementary Figure S1. Maximum-likelihood tree of all sampled individuals of the genus *Salamandra*, with *Lyciasalamandra* used as outgroup. White dots on nodes indicate branch support (> 70% non-parametric bootstrap [BS]), while black dots denote full branch support (100% BS). Barplots represent Q-ancestries for each individual (K = 11) computed with STRUCTURE (see Table S3 for details). Individuals in bold were selected as non-admixed representatives for analyses with the reduced dataset.



Supplementary Figure S2. Map showing localities of selected non-admixed samples in the reduced dataset (Fig. 4). Color codes like in Fig. 4.